VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM C. KENNEDY CONDUCTED ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 15, 2002

Page 230	Page 232
WILLIAM C. KENNEDY I would term a standard severance agreement in terms 16:03:18 of the format and the construct of it. And I was 16:03:22 told that the amount was \$1.2 million, which gave me 16:03:27 a little pause. 16:03:35 Q. Why? 16:03:36 A. That's a lot of money, but it's a lot of 16:03:38 money, but it's also not out of the realm of 16:03:44 possibility at AHERF. 16:03:49 1 A. At AHERF. 16:03:50 1 Q. But not generally? 16:03:51 A. Because it could 16:03:52 MR. RYAN: Objection. 16:03:53 THE WITNESS: conceivably represent 16:03:55 multi-year it's probably a number derived from 16:03:58 railary times a number of years of potential service. 16:04:07 What I when I questioned the number with David, I 16:04:12 was basically told the number's resolved, Sherif has 16:04:17 said it's 1.2, just do the agreement. 16:04:28 Q. Do you know why 16:04:28 A. I prepared the agreement. 16:04:29 Q. Do you have any insight as to why Carol 16:04:32	with AHSPIC and with the activities of the insurance 16:06:27 company. 16:06:31 Ms. Wynstra took the position that all the 16:06:33 business to be conducted by AHSPIC was required under 16:06:36 Cayman law to be outside the Continental United 16:06:39 States; that you could not even convene a complaint 16:06:44 committee meeting to review the status of litigation 16:06:53 in this country, that those meetings had to be 16:06:56 outside of the United States. 16:06:59 And as a consequence, four times a year, 16:07:03 meetings of the claims committee complaint, I said 16:07:06 complaint, but I meant claims claims committee, 16:07:11 were held outside of the United States. There was 16:07:13 usually every January, the meeting was in the 16:07:16 Cayman. There was usually at least one meeting in 16:07:20 Canada, and then there was usually a meeting in 16:07:24 Europe, and the fourth meeting could be anywhere. 16:07:33 O. Where in Europe would it typically be? 16:07:33 A. In Europe, they were usually in some very 16:07:34 nice old world city like Copenhagen and Amsterdam and 16:07:45 Stockholm, London. 16:07:53 Nancy would go to London periodically 16:08:00 because some of the excess coverage, layers of 16:08:00
Page 231 WILLIAM C. KENNEDY Calvert was let go? 16:04:34 A. There was a lot of speculation, internal 16:04:42 office, good internal office speculation. 16:04:46 Q. Such as? 16:04:49 A. Well, it ran the gamut from simply not 16:04:50 being satisfied with performance, which would be a 16:04:55 legitimate rationale for asking someone to leave, 16:04:58 versus having a personal affair with someone and then 16:05:03 the affair ending and someone being asked to no 16:05:10 longer be in my presence, that kind of thing. Do I 16:05:15 know specifically where in that where in the gamut 16:05:19 lies the truth? I do not, no. 16:05:24 Q. Was the speculation about an affair did 16:05:27 that involve a member of AHERF senior management? 16:05:30 A. It involved Mr. Abdelhak. I don't know 16:05:36 whether it's true nor did I try to find out. 16:05:40 Q. When we were off line I think Anthony 16:06:02 travel that Ms. Wynstra took 16:06:09	Page 233 1 WILLIAM C. KENNEDY 2 coverage, the excess layers, were through Lloyd's. 16:08:07 3 So she would be over there to meet with 16:08:10 4 representatives of Lloyd's and discuss that. 16:08:14 5 You know, the board meetings for AHSPIC 16:08:23 6 were overseas. There was I think the last year I 16:08:25 7 was there, the AHSPIC board meeting was in Scotland, 16:08:33 8 and I remember her coming back from Scotland being 16:08:36 9 very enamored of the place that they had stayed. And 16:08:39 10 not long after, I was told that she and her husband 16:08:43 11 had either invested in property or had bought some 16:08:49 12 property over there while they were there. 16:08:51 13 I always knew that there was no such thing 16:09:00 14 as having to do all of that business outside of the 16:09:03 15 United States. And this became very public after the 16:09:05 16 bankruptcy because there had been an expose in The 16:09:12 17 Philadelphia Inquirer about comparing the expenses 16:09:15 18 that AHERF incurred running its captive insurance 16:09:18 19 company versus Jefferson and the University of 16:09:23 20 Pennsylvania. And Jefferson's is a Cayman captive as 16:09:27 21 well, and they certainly did business in the United 16:09:32
21 A. Yes. 16:06:12 22 Q while she was at AHERF. What can you 16:06:12 23 remember about that? 16:06:19 24 A. I can remember that there was a lot of it. 16:06:21 25 I can remember that most most of it was associated 16:06:23	21 well, and they certainly did business in the United 16:09:32 22 States. They didn't go they didn't take all the 16:09:34 23 business out of the country like she was professing 16:09:37 24 was necessary. 16:09:39 25 This was not just Nancy travel. When we 16:09:41

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM C. KENNEDY CONDUCTED ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 15, 2002

Page 236 Page 234 WILLIAM C. KENNEDY WILLIAM C. KENNEDY talk about a claims committee meeting, we're talking 16:09:44 Allegheny paying the travel for both of them back and 16:12:44 forth to these incredible venues. 3 about probably 60 odd people traveling to these Q. In addition to these kind of expenses, can 16:12:55 4 cities, round-trip fair, often with spouses. You're 16:09:50 5 you recall any other type of -- I don't want to use a 16:12:57 talking about five-star hotels, the best restaurants 16:09:59 vague word which he'll object to -- exorbitant 6 16:10:05 6 in the city. 7 expenses? 16:13:05 You're also talking about an extraordinary 16:10:05 A. Exorbitant. That's a relative term working 16:13:09 amount of time planning these trips and the costs 16:10:05 8 8 9 for Allegheny because you have to understand associated with that. There was a travel agent in 16:10:09 Allegheny owned two jets. We jetted back and forth 16:13:22 Pittsburgh who regularly met with Nancy and planned 16:10:12 between Philadelphia and Pittsburgh practically every 16:13:24 these trips years in advance. He would come in. 16:10:15 11 day. You would get -- you know, if you had to have a 16:13:27 They would spend hours in the afternoons planning 16:10:19 12 12 meeting -- if I needed to meet with the three doctors 16:13:31 16:10:22 13 these trips. 13 that I talked about earlier, the three Bs, I would 16:13:33 The person that would know the most about 16:10:23 14 14 take the jet to Philadelphia. I'd get on the this is Nancy's assistant, Michelle Zatezalo, 16:10:24 15 15 schedule, and I would be able to fly in the morning 16:13:38 16:10:29 Z-a-t-e-z-a-l-o. That was Michelle's principal from Pittsburgh to Philadelphia. I'd have my meeting 16:13:41 responsibility, planning these trips. Nancy would go 16:10:36 17 with them, and I'd go back to the Philadelphia 16:13:45 18 before the planned trip. She would stay beyond the 16:10:42 airport and I was home in Pittsburgh again that 16:13:46 19 19 trip. Many of the people that would go over could 16:10:45 evening. 20 16:13:48 20 conceivably be -- many of the members of the claims 16:10:49 21 That plane -- the planes were eight- and 16:13:50 16:10:51 21 committee were physicians and they would go with their wives and they would have extended periods of 16:10:54 12-seat planes, so, you know, depending on who was 16:13:53 22 booked, you could get bumped. You know, you might be 16:13:57 23 time afterwards where they would stay wherever. 16:11:06 16:11:06 scheduled to go and Mr. Abdelhak needed the seat or 16:14:00 Nancy would often shop. She was a great whatever and then you flew commercial. 16:14:03 25 antiquer, and she would often have lots of things 16:11:10 Page 237 Page 235 WILLIAM C. KENNEDY WILLIAM C. KENNEDY There was also a suite, a hotel suite, at 16:14:06 2 shipped back from wherever they had been. I remember 16:11:12 2 the Rittenhouse Plaza in Philadelphia that was 16:14:12 one instance where Michelle had to go down to the 3 available for staff that, you know, that was 16:14:15 wharf in Pittsburgh to pick up two armoires that had 16:11:21 traveling and needed to stay overnight. I stayed at 16:14:20 been shipped over from Stockholm, and I was puzzled 16:11:25 the Rittenhouse a number of times. The Rittenhouse 16:14:22 as to why Michelle was going to pick them up for 16:11:29 is very top drawer. You know, it's a very lovely 16:11:32 7 starters during the workday. part of Philadelphia. It's very expensive. It's not 16:14:30 And you couldn't help but sit back and 16:11:35 8 a hotel that I would pay to stay at. It's definitely 16:14:34 wonder who was paying for the armoires and who paid 16:11:38 not something that I would spend that kind of money 16:14:37 10 for the shipping and all those wonderful things that 16:11:42 10 16:14:39 11 on. pass through your mind when you realize that this 16:11:45 But I had a -- it was a two-bedroom suite, 16:14:39 12 person is doing this so often, so visibly in front of 16:11:47 12 and often there would be -- often I could be there by 16:14:44 13 all the rest of the staff. She got away with it. myself or there might be someone else sharing the And, you know, I mean, Sherif and his wife 16:12:05 15 other room. But we had a kitchen, a living room, the 16:14:48 15 were on these trips. The people -- you know, 16:12:07 16 whole shooting match. And that was -- Allegheny 16:14:51 16 McConnell did not go on these trips. He went to the 16:12:10 17 owned it or rented it or something. They had it 16:14:55 Caymans every year, but he didn't go to the claims 16:12:14 18 on -- they apparently had acquired this when they 16:14:58 18 committee meetings. Sanzo was on these committee 16:12:17 19 acquired MCP because they had a lot of people out to 16:15:02 16:12:20 meetings. He would go. 19 20 MCP for a lengthy period of time and then they 16:15:07 The president, the chairman of the claims 16:12:20 20 21 continued to use it and have access to it. 16:15:11 21 committee, was Nancy's husband who was a physician, 16:12:22 When they -- when they acquired Hahnemann, 16:15:15 22 22 Dr. Berg. He was the chairman of the claims 16:12:27 23 we had a legal office in a building called the Bellet 16:15:22

24 building at Hahnemann that was perfectly fine. It

was a building that Hahnemann owned. It had been

23 committee, and obviously, then they were both -- they 16:12:31

24 both got over there and back by virtue of their roles 16:12:36

25 relative to the committees. So she essentially had 16:12:42

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM C. KENNEDY CONDUCTED ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 15, 2002

Page 240 Page 238 WILLIAM C. KENNEDY WILLIAM C. KENNEDY 1 2 Hahnemann for five years before I went to work for renovated in probably 1985, and we're now talking 16:15:36 2 Allegheny. I worked for an organization that had to 16:18:19 1993, so the renovations -- it was probably about a 16:15:39 16:18:23 be concerned about costs, and I moved and ended up seven- or eight-year-old renovation. Nancy decided 16:15:42 going to work for a company that didn't care about 16:18:27 that it was too small, decided that she needed more 16:15:46 16:18:29 costs so much so that you give somebody space, ended up going downtown, moved the entire 16:15:52 responsibility to -- purportedly responsibility to 16:18:33 legal department to Center Square West, which is one 16:15:56 7 run an office and you don't share with them your 16:18:37 of the high-rise buildings in the city. Who knows budget. I guess costs aren't important. 16:18:39 9 16:16:05 9 what the rent was. 16:18:43 BY MR. TORBORG: I take that back. It wasn't Center Square. 16:16:07 10 10 Q. Did you think that AHERF didn't care about 16:18:43 It was First Avenue. It was Fifth -- not Fifth 16:16:08 11 11 16:18:46 Avenue Place. Liberty Square, which is the -- the 16:16:15 12 costs? 16:18:48 premiere office building downtown; rented an entire 16:16:16 A. I think that AHERF believed that they 13 16:18:50 didn't have to care about costs. 14 floor from the Duane, Morris, Hechecher law firm 16:16:22 Q. And why do you think they believed that? 16:18:55 15 because Duane was downsizing. But this was a floor 16:16:28 15 A. Because I think everybody that worked there 16:18:56 16 that had been -- you know, it was a very new building 16:16:31 16 believed that Allegheny had an extraordinary amount 16:19:00 so this floor had been done for Duane, Morris, 17 16:16:42 of money and that there was no reason to be concerned 16:19:04 beautiful hardwood reception area. You guys know 18 16:16:42 16:19:09 what they look like, what nice offices look like for 16:16:45 19 about it. 19 Q. Did you ever have the opportunity to review 16:19:14 20 16:16:48 prestigious law firms. 20 AHERF or any of its affiliates' financial statements? 16:19:20 21 Nancy furnished her office with antiques, 16:16:49 21 16:19:24 22 A. No. 16:16:53 very expensive antiques. 22 Q. Do you recall ever hearing discussions that 16:19:29 23 16:16:57 Q. Who paid for those? 23 16:19:34 24 the AHERF system as a whole was profitable? 16:16:58 A. I don't know. I don't know. I have my 24 A. Hearing discussions that the system as a suspicions, but I don't know. 16:17:01 25 Page 241 Page 239 WILLIAM C. KENNEDY whole was profitable? I don't know that it was -- I 16:19:41 2 don't know that it was said that way. I don't know 16:19:52 3 16:19:55 that we were told that it was profitable. 4 I remember vividly a meeting that Sherif 16:19:58 5 6

8

10

1	V	VILLIAM C. KENNEDY		
2	Q. Is th	ere anything underlying your	16:1	17:06
3	suspensions	specifically?	16:17:07	
4	A. Well	l, most people, when you get a	an office	16:17:18
5	with Allegh	eny, had an allowance to furni	sh the	16:17:20
6	office. I do	n't know what her allowance w	vould have	16:17:24
7	been, but I l	nave I'm hard-pressed to bel	ieve that	16:17:27
8	Nancy out o	f her own pocket paid to furn	ish an offic	ce 16:17:30
9	in Philadelp	hia when she was only there,	you know,	as 16:17:34
10	infrequently	as she was. I suspect that it v	was an 1	6:17:41
11	Allegheny i		16:17:4	15
12	Q. Did	you believe any of these costs	were 1	6:17:47
13	necessary?	1	6:17:49	
14	A. No.	Did I have any way of dealin	g with it?	16:17:50
15	No.	16:1	17:56	
16	Q. Is it	fair to say that there was a	t 16:17	':57
17	least with r	espect to some of the items yo	ou've just	16:18:00
18	described, t	here was plenty of room to cu	t costs?	16:18:03
19	A. Abs	olutely.	16:18:07	
20	MR.	RYAN: Objection.	16:18	:08
21	THE	WITNESS: Absolutely.	16:	:18:09
22	BY MR. TO	ORBORG:	16:13	8:09
23	Q. Sign	nificant amounts of costs?	16:1	8:10
24		RYAN: Objection.	16:18	:13

THE WITNESS: I managed a legal office at 16:18:13

25

had at Hahnemann when Hahnemann was taken over. He 16:20:02 16:20:06 convened the entire faculty in the University's auditorium to introduce himself and to explain to 16:20:11 them the nature of Allegheny, how it was structured, 16:20:15 et cetera. And certainly, the implication of the 16:20:23 presentation, if he didn't say what you just asked very specifically, the implication was that we can do 16:20:26 anything, that we -- that we can do whatever you 16:20:30 16:20:34 need, that we have the resources that you have not 16:20:37 had that you will have now to do what you need, 15 but -- and the "but" came within three minutes of the 16:20:42 end of the meeting, and in those last three minutes, 16:20:47 17 16:20:50 having brought people to here where people were 18 16:20:53 feeling, wow, this is terrific, this marriage means 19 that we are going to be a top-notch tertiary 16:20:56 21 hospital, that we're going to survive and that we're 16:21:01 going to thrive here, in those last three minutes, following the word "but," you will do what I tell 23 you, because if you don't, you will be gone. And the 16:21:09 silence that pervaded that auditorium, I still hear 16:21:14

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM C. KENNEDY CONDUCTED ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 15, 2002

T		
Page 270 1 WILLIAM C. KENNEDY 2 tapes used was four. We are going off the record. 17:20:49 3 The time is 5:20 p.m. 17:20:51 4 (Signature having not been waived, the deposition of WILLIAM C. KENNEDY was concluded at 5:20 p.m.) 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WILLIAM C. KENNEDY ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT J., WILLIAM C. KENNEDY, do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct and complete transcription of the testimony given by me and any corrections appear on the attached Errata sheet signed by me. (DATE) (SIGNATURE) (COATE) (SIGNATURE) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 272
Page 271 WILLIAM C. KENNEDY	WILLIAM C. KENNEDY	

Kirstein Dep.

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF
UNSECURED CREDITORS OF
ALLEGHENY HEALTH, EDUCATION
& RESEARCH FOUNDATION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Civil Action

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS,

No. 00-684

LLP,

Defendant.

Videotaped Deposition of MARK D.

KIRSTEIN, called for examination under the

Applicable Rules of Federal Civil Procedure,

taken before me, Michele E. Eddy, a Registered

Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and

for the State of Ohio, pursuant to notice and

stipulations of counsel, at the offices of

Jones Day, 222 East 41st Street, Suite 400, New

York, New York, on Tuesday, the 11th day of

May, 2004, at 9:00 a.m.

	Page 78		Page 80
1	A. Yes.	1	A. I don't recall the way they did it.
2	Q. That is not your handwriting, is	2	Q. Have you ever heard of another
3	that right?	3	client during your audit career that reserved
4	A. That's correct.	4	only against self-pay balances?
5	Q. Do you know whose it is? 10:41:53	5	A. I do not, no. 10:44:09
6	A. No, the work paper is signed off by	6	 Q. Do you recall becoming concerned
7	Craig Kocak.	7	about this methodology for reserving for bad
8	Q. Do you know what that means as you	8	debts during your 1995 audit work or at any
9	read it today?	9	other time?
10	A. I don't recall this work paper. I 10:42:05	10	A. I don't recall if it was in '95. I 10:44:23
11	generally recall excuse me. I generally	11	think in some year we had it in what's called
	recall that MCP reserved used to estimate	12	the management letter, recommended that
	the reserve based on self-pay, as this says,	13	management consider changing the way that they
14	based on the self-pay category only.	14	reserve for or calculate or estimate their
15	Q. And MCP was one of the hospitals 10:42:26	15	reserve for bad debts at MCP because they only 10:44:35
16	owned by AHERF at the time?	16	use self-pay.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. I'm going to ask you to reassemble
18	Q. Estimating the allowance in this	18	that one for me.
19	fashion assumes that all amounts owed by	19	A. Okay.
20	insurance or other third-party payors will 10:42:41	20	Q. Then I'm going to show you what I 10:45:01
	indeed be paid; is that fair?	21	think will be a new exhibit, 1523.
21 22		22	On the face page of Exhibit 1523,
	A. No, that's not fair. MR. RYAN: Objection.	23	Mr. Kirstein, the words "critical matters" are
23		24	written in the lower left-hand corner. Is that
24	Q. Why is it not fair? A. I think you used the word earlier 10:42:49	25	right? 10:45:45
25	A. I think you used the word earlier 10:42:49	23	
	Page 79		Page 81
1	the building blocks. They may have used the	1	A. Yes.
2	self-pay as one building block to estimate the	2	Q. Do you know whose handwriting that
3	reserve, but it doesn't mean that the overall	3	is?
4	reserve on the financial statements doesn't	4	A. No.
5	account for the other payors. 10:43:03	5	Q. Do you know what critical matters 10:45:53
6	Q. I didn't I don't know that my	6	meant? Was it a term used at Coopers & Lybrand
7	question got there. But what you have	7	in fiscal year 1995?
8	described as reserving only on self-pay	8	A. Well, apparently if this is from
9	amounts, that step alone assumes that all other	9	1995, it was.
10	third-party payor amounts to be received will 10:43:20	10	•
11	indeed be received; that much is fair?	11	
l .		12	
12	A. Well, I guess I'm thinking from a financial statement perspective, and let's make	13	
13	sure we're clear. If in a formula you only	14	
	Sure were clear. It in a formula you only		
14		1 15	,,,
15	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything 10:43:35	15	a summary of items for discussion with the
15 16	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything 10:43:35 else, I guess theoretically in your	16	
15 16 17	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything 10:43:35 else, I guess theoretically in your hypothetical you would be saying, gees, the	16 17	partner on the engagement.
15 16 17 18	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything 10:43:35 else, I guess theoretically in your hypothetical you would be saying, gees, the other stuff is going to be paid.	16 17 18	partner on the engagement. And just to be clear, that's just
15 16 17 18 19	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything else, I guess theoretically in your hypothetical you would be saying, gees, the other stuff is going to be paid. But what I'm saying is if you think	16 17 18 19	partner on the engagement. And just to be clear, that's just one way I mean, that's kind of like a place
15 16 17 18 19 20	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything else, I guess theoretically in your hypothetical you would be saying, gees, the other stuff is going to be paid. But what I'm saying is if you think at a financial statement level it's possible 10:43:43	16 17 18 19 20	partner on the engagement. And just to be clear, that's just one way I mean, that's kind of like a place to put certain items that you want to make sure 10:46:31
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything else, I guess theoretically in your hypothetical you would be saying, gees, the other stuff is going to be paid. But what I'm saying is if you think at a financial statement level it's possible that you could estimate a reserve for self-pay	16 17 18 19 20 21	partner on the engagement. And just to be clear, that's just one way I mean, that's kind of like a place to put certain items that you want to make sure get elevated to the partner level. It's not
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything else, I guess theoretically in your hypothetical you would be saying, gees, the other stuff is going to be paid. But what I'm saying is if you think at a financial statement level it's possible that you could estimate a reserve for self-pay one way and estimate a reserve for other payors	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	partner on the engagement. And just to be clear, that's just one way I mean, that's kind of like a place to put certain items that you want to make sure get elevated to the partner level. It's not the only things you talk to the partner about.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything else, I guess theoretically in your hypothetical you would be saying, gees, the other stuff is going to be paid. But what I'm saying is if you think at a financial statement level it's possible that you could estimate a reserve for self-pay one way and estimate a reserve for other payors another way.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	partner on the engagement. And just to be clear, that's just one way I mean, that's kind of like a place to put certain items that you want to make sure get elevated to the partner level. It's not the only things you talk to the partner about. So I don't want to mislead you on that. It's a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	multiplied self-pay and didn't do anything else, I guess theoretically in your hypothetical you would be saying, gees, the other stuff is going to be paid. But what I'm saying is if you think at a financial statement level it's possible that you could estimate a reserve for self-pay one way and estimate a reserve for other payors	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	partner on the engagement. And just to be clear, that's just one way I mean, that's kind of like a place to put certain items that you want to make sure get elevated to the partner level. It's not the only things you talk to the partner about. So I don't want to mislead you on that. It's a document of items that you want to make sure

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Idik	Tarocom			
	Page 82			Page 84
1	MR. RYAN: If I can just note for		to the typewritten note above your handwritten	
2	the record, Exhibit 1523 contain only the first	2	note on the document.	
3	few pages of critical matters. There are other	3	It reads, in at least it's first	
4	pages that are critical matters in fiscal year	4	few lines, "C&L assessed the adequacy of each	
5	1995. 10:46:55	5	of AHERF's individual hospitals, HUH, MCPH,	10:50:36
6	MR. JONES: I appreciate that.	6	SCHC, EPC and BCC A/R reserves by applying	
7	Q. Mr. Kirstein, I'm now going to ask	7	AGH's reserve percentage to each aging category	i
8	you to turn to the page that you were starting	8	of outpatient and inpatient receivable."	
9	to, I think, read when I made you flip back.	9	I've read the first sentence right	
10	A. Okay. 10:47:08	10	anyway? 10:50:59	
11	Q. It's Bates labeled 57292, headed	11	A. Correct.	
12	with the phrase Allegheny Health, Education and	12	Q. So my question is, I think this	
13	Research Foundation Summary of A/R Reserve,	13	you don't specifically recall or recall at all	
14	Assuming AGH Reserve Philosophy. June 30,	14	being involved or learning of this assessment	
15	1995.	15	of the adequacy of each of AHERF's individual	10:51:15
16	I read the heading right anyway,	16	hospitals by comparison to AGH reserve	
17	didn't I?	17	percentages as you sit here today?	
18	A. On 292, yes.	18	MR. RYAN: Objection.	
19	Q. And this document actually has some	19	A. I don't specifically recall	
20	handwritten notes of yours. Am I correct? 10:47:34	20		1:27
21	A. Correct.	21	Q. Do you recall being aware that the	
1		22	test was performed?	
22	Q. They're the ones that appear at the base of the page start with the word note. Is	23	A. I don't recall the test. I	
23	that right and start with the word note, is	24	generally recall over the years, it appears to	
24		25	be '95 into '96, discussions with management	10:51:38
25	that right? 10:47:45		,	
	Page 83			Page 85
1	A. Correct.	1	about using a balance sheet approach to	
2	Q. Could you take a moment and	2	estimate, I'll call it a balance sheet	
3	refamiliarize yourself with the page and then	3	approach, which is what AGH did, to estimating	
4	I'll ask you just a few questions about it.	4	their reserve for allowance for doubtful	
5	A. Great, thanks. 10:47:52	5	accounts. 10:51:50	
6	Okay.	6	 Q. Who do you recall at AHERF that you 	
7	Q. Does the moment you took to review	7	had such conversations with?	
8	the document and the heading of the document in	8	 A. Generally over the years it would 	
9	particular refresh your recollection that	9	be the finance department, Dan Cancelmi, Al	
10	indeed in fiscal 1995 Coopers & Lybrand 10:49:15	10	Adamczak, Steve Spargo. 10:52	2:03
11	performed a comparison of other AHERF	11	I think I cited earlier the	
12	hospitals' accounts receivable reserve to the	12	management letter. I think I don't remember	
13	accounts receivable reserve philosophy or	13	which years, it might be '96, so I could be	
14	methodology used at AGH?	14	crossing years here but in one of the	
15	A. Could you read that again, please? 10:49:35	15	management letters, we talk about management	10:52:13
	(Record read.)	16	might want to consider looking at the way that	
16	MR. RYAN: I'll just object to the	17	they estimate their reserves.	
17	form since I don't think you ever asked the	18	Q. Do you recall specifically	
18	witness that question before.	19	discussing with Mr. Cancelmi or Mr. Adamczak or	
19		20	anybody else at AHERF employing an AGH	10:52:22
20	, ,,	21	methodology at other hospitals?	
21	recollection, but it does appear to be an	22	A. I mean, I don't recall discussion	
22	analysis that C&L completed related to auditing	23	with those gentlemen, no.	
	the reserves, the estimate for reserves that			
23		1 24	(). The next sentence of the note 1	
23 24 25	management had estimated.	24		52: 44

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

1 2			
	Page 98		Page 100
2	reserve?	1	attachments. Could you take a moment and then
	MR. RYAN: Objection.	2	tell me if I'm right.
3	 I can only tell you what it says on 	3	A. Great, thanks.
4	the work paper. I don't recall this.	4	Okay. The question was?
5	Q. What it says on the work paper is 11:07:32	5	Q. It wasn't stated except for a while 11:11:34
6	what you are referring to?	6	ago, so we'll try it again.
7	A. It says it's a credit balance	7	This is a September 11, 1995 letter
8	sitting in this account of 7.4 million dollars	8	with some attachments from you to Mr. Morrison
9	related to monies received for GME.	9	that bears your signature. Is that right?
10	Q. The account was a CRA account? 11:07:41	10	A. Correct. 11:11:47
11	A. Other noncurrent liabilities is not	11	 Q. And do you recall the meeting that
	a CRA account typically.	12	it refers to?
13	Q. I'm just reading the first sentence	13	A. What meeting?
	of the narrative. "Other noncurrent	14	Q. The first sentence, it says, "Bill
	liabilities consist primarily of a CRA 11:07:52	15	Buettner and I met with David McConnell today 11:11:56
	reserve." Is that right?	16	and discussed"
17	A. Yes, I don't want to pick at words.	17	A. Got you.
	I mean, it sounds like GME's related to CRAs,	18	Q "among other things the amount
19	but other noncurrent liabilities is not a CRA	19	of accounts receivable that are included in the
	account. That's all I'm saying. The 11:08:06	20	greater than 180 day category and the related 11:12:04
21	description says there is a credit in this	21	bad debt reserve at each of AHERF's hospitals."
22	account for what appear to be CRA type of	22	Do you recall that meeting?
23	items, namely GME.	23	A. I do not, sir.
24	Q. Do you recall reviewing this work	24	Q. Do you have any doubt that you
25	paper and making this note? 11:08:18	25	wrote this letter and dispatched it on or about 11:12:16
	paper and making the note:	 	
	Page 99		Page 101
1	A. I do not, no.	1	September 11, 1995?
2	Q. Do you recall becoming aware that	2	A. No.
3	AHERF in fiscal year 1995 did what you indicate	3	Q. In it, in the second paragraph, you
4	it did in the note?	4	state that, "We believe that the reserve for
5	A. Is your question do I I mean, if 11:08:32	5	accounts receivable should be enhanced and the 11:12:31
6	you're asking do I recall management reversing	6	methodology used to establish the reserves
7	4 million dollars against bad debts, no, I	7	reviewed for future reference."
8	don't recall.	8	Then you state the basis for your
9	Q. Do you know whether on your SUD C&L	9	belief.
10	for this fiscal year took a position about this 11:08:49	10	"Our basis for this conclusion is 11:12:41
11	4 million dollar reversal	11	rooted in the amount of A/R over 180 days old
12	MR. RYAN: Objection to form.	12	coupled with the reduction in the reserve as a
13	Q and use as against bad debts?	13	
14	A. I don't recall what's on the '95	14	•
15	SUD. It's here in the work papers somewhere. 11:09:05	15	A. What it says? Yes. 11:12:58
16	We can look at it.	16	
	Q. Mr. Kirstein, I'm handing you now	17	
17	what has been marked in this litigation, at	18	1 6.1
18	least, as Exhibit 1448. Apparently,	19	and the same of th
19	interestingly enough, it was Exhibit 1 maybe in 11:09:36	20	
1		21	•
20	some other litigation.	22	
20 21	It after voulee had a chance to		
20 21 22	It, after you've had a chance to		
20 21 22 23	look at it briefly, you will tell me is a	23	Q. Then you say, "While this is
20 21 22	•	23 24	Q. Then you say, "While this is conservative and would be slightly offset by

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

	Page 102		Page 104
1	the conclusion that the reserves should be		don't I think the answer should have been to
2	enhanced."		your question, thinking back historically, AGH
3	Is that right as well?	3	was a pretty well-run hospital that we had
4	A. Yes.	4	history, we, C&L, had history as to how they
5	Q. What did you mean by while this is 11:13:23	5	account for how they estimate their reserves 11:15:36
6	conservative, if you can recall?	6	and how they account.
7	A. I just don't recall.	7	I generally recall, I can't
8	Q. The support for the reduction	8	specifically cite a hospital or anything, that
9	your statement about the reduction in the	9	as we looked at the Delaware Valley hospitals
10	reserve as a percentage of A/R at several 11:13:39	10	and their accounting and people were leaving as 11:15:48
11	hospitals at least in part can be found in one	11	they acquired hospitals and other things that
12	of the attachments which ends with the Bates	12	were going on, that the AGH reserve percentages
13	labeled 00987. Is that right?	13	could be used and just taking numbers and
14	A. I'm sorry, what's your question?	14	moving them over here, it might not be an
1	Q. Same exhibit. 11:13:53	15	actual one for one to Delaware Valley, so 11:16:02
15	Q. Same simulation	16	recognizing there may be adjustments to this
16	·	17	test that we were trying to conduct.
17	Q. If you refer to 00987. A. M-hm.	18	Q. That's by way of explanation of why
18		19	you might have written the word conservative in
19	Q. There's a table there that's headed Allegheny Health, Education and Research	20	the letter to Mr. Morrison? 11:16:15
20		21	A. Right, just to clarify that while
21	Foundation, Summary of Accounts Receivable.	22	you might get a table here that says A times B
22	Then I really can't read the rest of it is if	23	equals C, C could be a conservative estimate
23	there is anything more on this shaded box.	24	because there could be other things, like
24	But it appears to show the percent of A/R that the reserve as a percentage of 11:14:16	25	unapplied PIP. There could be difference in 11:16:27
25	of A/R that the reserve as a percentage of 11:14:16	23	unuppied 11 1 There deals are simple
	Page 103	i	Page 105
١.	Page 103	1	Page 105
1	A/R at several hospitals.	1 2	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay.
2	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct.	2	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors.
2 3	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that	2	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that
2 3 4	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996?	2 3 4	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I
2 3 4 5	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30	2 3 4 5	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36
2 3 4 5 6	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a
2 3 4 5 6 7	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R	2 3 4 5 6 7	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which — I'm sorry, the percent of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had 11:16:48
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44 A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but 11:16:55
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44 A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but Q. Well, why don't you just tell me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44 A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable. Let me touch on the conservative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real recollection today as to why you wrote the word
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44 A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable. Let me touch on the conservative point again. You probably wonder why I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real recollection today as to why you wrote the word conservative in the letter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44 A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable. Let me touch on the conservative point again. You probably wonder why I'm looking at this exhibit. I just was going to 11:15:12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real recollection today as to why you wrote the word conservative in the letter? A. I don't recall writing the letter. 11:17:08
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable. Let me touch on the conservative point again. You probably wonder why I'm looking at this exhibit. I just was going to 11:15:12 see if there's any discussion in this document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real recollection today as to why you wrote the word conservative in the letter? A. I don't recall writing the letter. 11:17:08 I'm just giving you a general recollection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44 A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable. Let me touch on the conservative point again. You probably wonder why I'm looking at this exhibit. I just was going to 11:15:12 see if there's any discussion in this document. Q. Which exhibit are you referring to,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but 11:16:55 Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real recollection today as to why you wrote the word conservative in the letter? A. I don't recall writing the letter. 11:17:08 I'm just giving you a general recollection to the view that I had during the different years
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44 A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable. Let me touch on the conservative point again. You probably wonder why I'm looking at this exhibit. I just was going to 11:15:12 see if there's any discussion in this document. Q. Which exhibit are you referring to, sir?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but 11:16:55 Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real recollection today as to why you wrote the word conservative in the letter? A. I don't recall writing the letter. 11:17:08 I'm just giving you a general recollection to the view that I had during the different years on the audit that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? 11:14:44 A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable. Let me touch on the conservative point again. You probably wonder why I'm looking at this exhibit. I just was going to 11:15:12 see if there's any discussion in this document. Q. Which exhibit are you referring to, sir? A. 1523. I haven't read it yet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but 11:16:55 Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real recollection today as to why you wrote the word conservative in the letter? A. I don't recall writing the letter. 11:17:08 I'm just giving you a general recollection to the view that I had during the different years on the audit that Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A/R at several hospitals. A. Correct. Q. In two different years, is that correct, 1995 and 1996? A. Shows total A/R bad debt reserve 11:14:30 and a percent, yes. Q. The cumulative percent of A/R against which — I'm sorry, the percent of the reserve as a percent of total A/R has gone down between 1994 and 1995, from 12.6 to 12.44? A. Correct. Q. Is that, to the best of your recollection, what you refer to in the second paragraph of your letter to Mr. Morrison? A. I don't recall writing a letter, 11:15:02 but we do cite that there's a reduction in reserve that seems reasonable. Let me touch on the conservative point again. You probably wonder why I'm looking at this exhibit. I just was going to 11:15:12 see if there's any discussion in this document. Q. Which exhibit are you referring to, sir? A. 1523. I haven't read it yet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	payors, maybe, in the way that people pay. Philadelphia payors versus Pittsburgh payors. There could have been a lot of things that changed. I just wanted to clarify that I think I was just trying to show it's not A 11:16:36 times B equals C, that this is just a methodology to estimate something. Q. So it may not be conservative, but the conservative refers, at least to your best recollection today, to the fact that you had greater experience with a fairly well-run hospital at AGH? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Is that right? A. I'm not sure I follow that, but 11:16:55 Q. Well, why don't you just tell me again why it is do you have any real recollection today as to why you wrote the word conservative in the letter? A. I don't recall writing the letter. 11:17:08 I'm just giving you a general recollection to the view that I had during the different years on the audit that Q. Okay.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

lark	Kirstein		
	Page 110		Page 112
1	things being equal, that accounts over 180 days	1	Q. And then I'm going to ask you if
	are less like to be collectible than accounts	2	disks in the 1995 time frame or in connection
	that had been that are younger?	3	with the audit were shared auditor to auditor,
4	A. I think I said a couple questions	4	you would exchange information sometimes on a
	ago, you need to know the facts and the 11:37:48	5	computer disk? 11:40:06
	circumstances.	6	A. I don't recall. It seems
7	O. I'm asking you to assume all the	7	reasonable. We might have.
	facts, everything else being equal.	8	Q. The next exhibit I'm going to hand
9	A. Sitting here right now I can't make	9	you is Exhibit 4090, which itself I will
	that generalization. 11:37:55	10	represent to you and to Antony and Mr. Close 11:40:23
11	O. But I assumed all other things	11	has been printed from the disk marked as
12	being equal, the older accounts, those over 180	12	Exhibit 4087. I'm going to give you the file
13	days tend to be less collectible than accounts	13	name so that you can refer back on Exhibit
14	younger than 180 days, if you assume everything	14	4087, middle of the second page, to the file
15	else equal? 11:38:05	15	name MLC, underlined BD dash or dot Sam. 11:40:39
16	A. That's reasonable.	16	A. Okay.
17	Q. Mr. Kirstein, I've just handed you	17	 Q. That file name has been reproduced
18	what we've marked as Exhibit 4087 in an earlier	18	as Exhibit 4090
19	deposition. This is by way of establishing	19	A. Okay.
20	some background information only. Do you 11:38:36	20	Q in hard copy. I hope that sort 11:40:57
21	recognize these, the disk, a copy of which	21	of sets the table
22	appears as the first page of the exhibit, as	22	A. Okay.
22 23	the kind of a disk used as a part of AHERF's	23	Q for how we got the document and
23 24	audit work for AHERF I mean C&L's audit work	24	why it is not why the fact that it is now
25	for AHERF? 11:38:53	25	before you. 11:41:06
23	TOT ATIEN.		·
	Page 111		Page 11
1	 A. Looks to be a disk, standard three 	1	A. Okay.
2	and a half inch disk.	2	Q. My questions now are going to be
3	Q. It's got C&L up in the upper	3	really about Exhibit 4090, the print of what
4	right-hand margin?	4	was electronic information from the disk.
5	A. Yes. 11:39:08	5	A. Okay. 11:41:15
6	 Q. Those are things that you used and 	6	Q. The heading of the document reads,
7	were familiar with in your audit of the AHERF	7	"A consistent methodology for determining the
8	audit?	8	bad debt reserve should be established to
9	MR. RYAN: Objection to the time	9	improve management's ability to monitor bad
10	frame. 11:39:17	10	debt." 11:41:27
11	Q. I'm sorry, in 1995.	11	Do you see that?
12	A. I don't recall. I don't recall how	12	A. Yes.
13	many disks had AHERF. We had disks that you	13	Q. Could you read the short document,
14	backed up your files that you created in Lotus	14	and then I'll have just a few short questions
15	or something. 11:39:32	15	about it for you. 11:41:35
16	Q. That's all I'm getting at.	16	
17	A. Yes.	17	
	Q. Disks were a part of a product at	18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	some point?	19	
18 19	SOME DOME:	20	A. It looks to be written first, 11:42:17
19			
19 20	A. Yes, they were. 11:39:32	21	
19 20 21	A. Yes, they were. 11:39:32 O. I'm going to refer you to the		
19 20 21 22	A. Yes, they were. 11:39:32 Q. I'm going to refer you to the second page of the document which has a series	21	Q. Yes. Yes, it is. A. I'm going to say it's a draft of a,
19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, they were. 11:39:32 Q. I'm going to refer you to the second page of the document which has a series of file names that I will represent to you were	21 22	Q. Yes. Yes, it is. A. I'm going to say it's a draft of a, what appears to be a management letter comment
19 20 21 22	A. Yes, they were. 11:39:32 Q. I'm going to refer you to the second page of the document which has a series	21 22 23	Q. Yes. Yes, it is. A. I'm going to say it's a draft of a, what appears to be a management letter comment

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

	Page 114		Page 116
1	don't know if there's a subsequent draft or I	1	A. I don't know.
	don't know if this went final, but it's	2	Q. You don't know whether you prepared
	somewhere in the cycle of writing a management	3	them or not?
	comment.	4	A. I don't know either way, no.
5	Q. Do you know whether you drafted 11:42:41	5	Q. The note starts out by saying, 11:44:48
	this or participated in the drafting of this	6	"System-wide, AHERF had 40 million of accounts
7	Exhibit 4090?	7	receivable older than 180 days at June 30, 1995
8	A. I don't recall.	8	primarily in the Delaware Valley.
9	Q. Was it a part of your work in the	9	"During our audit, we noted that
10	1995 audit and later audits to participate in 11:42:58	10	the methodology used to calculate the bad debt 11:45:03
	that process, that is, the preparation of	11	allowance was inconsistent between several
12	management letters or management comment	12	AHERF hospitals.
13	letters?	13	"The inconsistencies and the
14	A. The "you" in that is Mr. Kirstein?	14	methodologies were especially prevalent in the
15	Q. Yes. 11:43:06	15	Delaware Valley where the reserve percentages 11:45:16
16	A. Yes, it was.	16	were significantly lower than those at AGH.
i	Q. You would review drafts, perhaps	17	"The variations between these
17	comment on them that were prepared by less	18	entities appear to cause difficulties in
18	senior individuals on the audit engagement?	19	analyzing the bad debt allowance which could
19	A. You're talking any year? 11:43:15	20	possibly result in an inadequate reserve in 11:45:29
20		21	future years."
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22	Did I read that right?
22	A. '95, I don't recall what my role was. In '96, '97 I believe I helped I know I	23	A. Yes.
23	helped draft the initial version at least and	24	Q. Do you know who wrote this
24	had a role in the review process. 11:43:26	25	document? 11:45:44
25	nad a role in the review process.		
	Page 115		Page 117
1	Q. That may be helpful to me. Let me	1	A. No.
1 2	make sure I understand the point.	2	Q. I'm going to ask you to look back
2	Were there people in your	3	at something that we looked at very early,
3	experience, Mr. Kirstein, in '95 and after in	4	Exhibit 4077.
4	the AHERF audit that did the drafting in the 11:43:37	5	A. Okay. 11:45:57
5	first instance of management comment letters	6	Q. Which, again, for those who may be
6	that were below in seniority than the manager	7	looking at this at some point in the future,
7		8	was headed AHERF A/R Delaware Valley, 10 of 66.
8	level?	9	In particular, I'm going to ask you
9	A. Yes. O. Then whatever those drafters put 11:43:50		to look at Bates page that ends 963 relating to 11:46:16
10		11	Allegheny General Hospital. 963.
11	together was, in turn, as a matter of a process	12	I'm going to hand you a wonderfully
12	typically reviewed by managers and then	13	utilitarian yellow stickies and ask you to
13	ultimately reviewed by the engagement partner?	14	place it there.
14	A. Yes.	15	Could you read the heading of this 11:46:53
15	Q. So it could be that a Mr. Kocak or 11:44:02	16	document for us?
16	somebody more junior to you in '95 or '96 took		A. AGH Allowance for Doubtful
17	a first attempt at portions of a management	17	
18	comment letter draft?	18	Accounts.
19	A. Yes.	19	
20			7. Concess
21	today, the two paragraphs that exist on page	21	
		22	
22	·	23	payors for both inpatient and outpatient
22	prepared these or that someone else prepared		
ı		24	accounts at Allegheny General Hospital.
23	these, or do you have a reason for having a		accounts at Allegheny General Hospital.

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

			D 424
	Page 122	1	Page 124 that you use and the way that you estimate your
1	letter portion is the heading recommendation.	1	reserves, and basically that's just part of
2	Do you see that?	2	
3	A. Yes.	3	their should become part of their control
4	Q. It reads, "Due to the magnitude of	4	environment.
5	old accounts, we recommend that management 11:52:13	5	Q. Do you recall personally having 11:54:28
6	establish a system-wide methodology for	6	those conversations with Mr. Cancelmi?
7	calculating the bad debt allowance using aging	7	A. I can't give you a date, but,
8	percentages by payor based on actual historical	8	generally, yes.
9	data.	9	Q. You think these occurred in 1995?
10	"In addition, an allowance review 11:52:26	10	A. I don't know if it was '95 or '96. 11:54:36
11	should be conducted on a periodic basis in	11	Q. Then just above that, you refer to
12	order to ensure assumptions used have not	12	aging percentages based on actual historical
13	changed as a result of changes in each	13	data. Why would that be important?
14	provider's environment."	14	MR. RYAN: Objection. I'm not sure
15	Do you see that? 11:52:40	15	the witness is referring to anything here. 11:55:00
16	A. Yes.	16	A. I don't know if I authored the
17	Q. At the end it then says, "Through	17	document, so
18	the establishment of a consistent methodology	18	 Q. Do you believe that basing aging
19	and periodic review, management will be better	19	percentages by payor on actual historical data
20	able to analyze the bad debt allowances in the 11:52:50	20	would be important as you sit here today? 11:55:12
21	future." Do you see that?	21	A. As I sit here today, I think if
22	A. Yes.	22	I think what's important is that management of
23	Q. Why, if you know, was there an	23	the organization has a reasonable basis for
24	emphasis on the magnitude of old accounts in	24	estimating the reserve. If you're going to use
25	the draft? 11:53:02	25	reserve percentages as one way to estimate 11:55:23
	Page 123		Page 125
1	MR. RYAN: Objection.	1	that, you ought to consider historical data.
2	A. I don't know who authored the	2	You also may have other variables you want to
3	document. It just appears to me referencing 40	3	consider.
4	million dollars up above, that there was an	4	 Q. Do you recall being frustrated or
5	increase from year to year. 11:53:27	5	concerned that AHERF was not doing the periodic 11:55:34
6	Q. Then why, whether you have a	6	review that we just referred to of its
7	recollection of it or not strike that.	7	allowance, procedures and methodology?
	Do you have a recollection of why	8	A. Not that I recall.
8	there was a recommendation about an allowance	9	Q. Do you ever recall being concerned
9			
10	1CVICIT OIL & POLITAGE TO 1	11	on actual historical data?
11	recollection as you sit here today? A. My recollection generally of	12	
12		13	
13	Talking to Affect findice department about this,	14	and the second s
14		15	
15	UOII (KIIOV VVIIO dadilor ca alla, la alla	16	1077.
16	we, C&L, talked to AHERF's department about	17	
17	adopting a consistent methodology for bad debt	18	
18	reserves, that management needs to own that.	19	
19			44.00.20
20			We just marked or just rooman any remains
1		21	
21	accounting. We talked to them about the fact	22	
1			
21	that that's their responsibility. You guys	23	
21 22	that that's their responsibility. You guys	24	going to ask you to briefly look at it and tell

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

	Page 126		Page 128
1	A. Do you want me to read through the	1	180 days old as of June 30, 1995."
2	section or just tell you generally what it is?	2	Do you see that?
3	Q. Do you generally recognize the	3	A. Yes.
4	document, first?	4	Q. The math we did a few moments ago
5	A. It appears to be a copy of the 1995 11:57:17	5	would indicate that 36 million dollars of A/R 12:00:34
6	management letter.	6	was net of established reserves at year-end, if
7	Q. From Coopers & Lybrand to the	7	we did the math right? Do you have any reason
8	Allegheny Health, Education and Research	8	to know why the number changed by the time it
9	Foundation Board of Trustees, is that correct?	9	got into the management letter in final form?
10	A. Correct. 11:57:27	10	MR. RYAN: Objection. 12:00:58
11	Q. The kind of letter that might have	11	A. I do not know the genesis of the 18
12	been generated from draft language like that in	12	million dollar number in Exhibit 7. But I also
13	Exhibit 4090? Though, I'm not asking you to	13	can't sit here today and say that there has to
14	tie the two together at this point.	14	be a one-for-one correlation between this work
15	A. May have, yes. 11:57:37	15	paper and this management letter. It's 12:01:28
16	Q. I'm going to refer you to page	16	possible, again, keeping in mind that the audit
17	three in the middle of the base of the pages.	17	focuses on accounts receivable in its totality,
18	There's lots of numbers on here.	18	that there were other reserves that offset the
19	But if you look in the middle part	19	accounts receivable and are not reflected in
20	of the document, page three of the management 11:57:47	20	50969. 12:01:44
21	letter, which is Exhibit 7, it refers to the	21	Q. So, in other words, you don't know
22	deterioration of accounts receivable aging. Do	22	why the number is 18 million in the management
23	you see that?	23	comment letter as opposed to any other number,
24	A. Yes.	24	including 36 million?
25	Q. That is a part of the comments that 11:58:00	25	A. That's correct, I do not know that. 12:01:54
<u> </u>		├	
	Page 127	!	Page 129
	-		
1	-	1	Q. The last line of the portion of the
1	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right?	2	 Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration
1 2 3	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or	1	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the
2	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment?	2 3 4	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it
2	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or	2 3 4 5	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12
2 3 4	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged
2 3 4 5	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined 11:59:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the 11:59:53	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined 11:59:40 in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the 11:59:53 recommendation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the 11:59:53 recommendation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined 11:59:40 in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the 11:59:53 recommendation. Q. So the two together? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our audit efforts we got ourselves comfortable and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined 11:59:40 in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the 11:59:53 recommendation. Q. So the two together? A. Correct. Q. The piece directly beneath	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our audit efforts we got ourselves comfortable and satisfied with our audit objectives and the net
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the recommendation. Q. So the two together? A. Correct. Q. The piece directly beneath deterioration of accounts receivable agings 12:00:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our audit efforts we got ourselves comfortable and satisfied with our audit objectives and the net realizable value of accounts receivable. 12:02:59
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the mecommendation. Q. So the two together? A. Correct. Q. The piece directly beneath deterioration of accounts receivable agings mecony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our audit efforts we got ourselves comfortable and satisfied with our audit objectives and the net realizable value of accounts receivable. 12:02:59 Q. You said you didn't know the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the mecommendation. Q. So the two together? A. Correct. Q. The piece directly beneath deterioration of accounts receivable agings 12:00:04 reads, "During fiscal year 1995, the accounts receivable agings deteriorated from those which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our audit efforts we got ourselves comfortable and satisfied with our audit objectives and the net realizable value of accounts receivable. 12:02:59 Q. You said you didn't know the genesis of the 18 million dollar number for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the management's recommendation. Q. So the two together? A. Correct. Q. The piece directly beneath deterioration of accounts receivable agings managements agings deteriorated from those which existed at June 30, 1994, approximately 18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our audit efforts we got ourselves comfortable and satisfied with our audit objectives and the net realizable value of accounts receivable. Q. You said you didn't know the genesis of the 18 million dollar number for the Delaware Valley accounts receivable net of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the 11:59:53 recommendation. Q. So the two together? A. Correct. Q. The piece directly beneath deterioration of accounts receivable agings 12:00:04 reads, "During fiscal year 1995, the accounts receivable agings deteriorated from those which existed at June 30, 1994, approximately 18 million of Delaware Valley Hospital accounts,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our audit efforts we got ourselves comfortable and satisfied with our audit objectives and the net realizable value of accounts receivable. 12:02:59 Q. You said you didn't know the genesis of the 18 million dollar number for the Delaware Valley accounts receivable net of established reserves greater than 180 days.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Coopers & Lybrand is providing to AHERF's board, is that right? It is not yet the recommendation or the response, but that's the comment? A. Can I read this for a second? 11:58:12 Q. Sure. A. Okay. The question was? Q. The comment or, rather, that the text that appears after deterioration of accounts receivable aging, which is underlined in bold heading is Coopers & Lybrand's comment as opposed to a recommendation or the management's response. Is that fair to say? A. I would say that Coopers & Lybrand piece is that paragraph plus the 11:59:53 recommendation. Q. So the two together? A. Correct. Q. The piece directly beneath deterioration of accounts receivable agings 12:00:04 reads, "During fiscal year 1995, the accounts receivable agings deteriorated from those which existed at June 30, 1994, approximately 18 million of Delaware Valley Hospital accounts,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. The last line of the portion of the management comment that is headed deterioration of accounts receivable reads, "While the established reserves appear reasonable, it appears that management should increase its 12:02:12 efforts to pursue collection of these aged accounts." Is that right? A. That's what it says, correct. Q. At the end of your audit work for fiscal year 1995, that is, Mr. Kirstein's audit 12:02:22 work, did you believe that sentence to be true? A. I don't know what I believed at the end of 1995. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement, but I also, sitting here today, don't recall what 12:02:45 discussions I was having in 1995. I can tell you that as part of our audit efforts we got ourselves comfortable and satisfied with our audit objectives and the net realizable value of accounts receivable. 12:02:59 Q. You said you didn't know the genesis of the 18 million dollar number for the Delaware Valley accounts receivable net of established reserves greater than 180 days.

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

Page 277

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF ALLEGHENY HEALTH, EDUCATION & RESEARCH FOUNDATION, Plaintiff,

vs.
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS,
LLP,

ECOOPERS, No. 00-684

Civil Action

Defendant.

Continued Videotaped Deposition of MARK D. KIRSTEIN, called for examination under the Applicable Rules of Federal Civil Procedure, taken before me, Michele E. Eddy, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, pursuant to notice and stipulations of counsel, at the offices of Jones Day, 222 East 41st Street, Suite 400, New York, New York, on Wednesday, the 12th day of May, 2004, at 9:00 a.m.

VOLUME II

Mark	Kirstein		Volume 2
	Page 318		Page 320
1	Q. It's marked completed by	1	A. That's the mathematical difference
2	Mr. Christian?	2	from that on this schedule.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. I'm handing you now Exhibit 4023.
4	Q. Do the schedules that follow in	4	I know you've been anticipating it. It is a
5	this work paper show a balance sheet approach 09:45:08	5	work paper from Coopers & Lybrand's audit file 09:48:49
6	to reserving for bad debt as you've referred to	6	for for the audit pertaining to the 6-30-96
7	it?	7	financial statements at AHERF headed with the
8	A. Can I take a minute and	8	name Bucks Inpatient Bad Debt Analysis?
9	Q. You may.	9	A. Correct.
10	A review it? 09:45:22	10	Q. And Bucks was indeed a Delaware 09:49:00
11	Yes, I believe it does.	11	Valley Obligated Group hospital, as you recall
12	Q. I'm going to refer you now in	12	it?
13	particular to page 1098 of the Exhibit 4387 and	13	A. At June 30th.
14	to the note at the base of the page which I	14	I assume when you're asking that
15	think reads, "C&L does not propose an entry for 09:46:19	15	you mean June 30th because there was no 09:49:19
16	the difference between the two reserve	16	obligated group prior to sometime in June of
17	calculations because C&L has prepared an	17	'96.
18	additional analysis for the bad debt reserve	18	Q. That's what I mean.
19	using AGH's reserve percentages and the client	19	A. Just being clear.
20	has booked an additional reserve." 09:46:35	20	Q. It is, again, another work paper 09:49:19
21	Did I read that accurately?	21	that is marked as reviewed by you and as last
22	A. Yes.	22	modified by you?
23	Q. Did you ever see the additional	23	A. Yes.
24	analysis for the bad debt reserve using AGH's	24	Q. And Mr. Christianson, at least by
25	reserve percentages to which this note refers? 09:46:48	25	the notation on the document, apparently 09:49:30
123	reserve percentages to miles and the		
	Page 319		Page 321
1	A. I don't recall seeing that, but	1	completed the work paper, is that right?
2	as I said earlier, I don't know if that's what	2	A. Christian, but yes.
3	I would have expected.	3	Q. Did I use an S, an extra S?
4	I do recall myself, Bill Buettner	4	 A. You called him Christianson.
5	and Brian Christian reviewing accounts 09:47:05	5	Q. I'm sorry. 09:49:39
	receivable over a two-day period at the end of	6	A. He'll forgive you.
6	the audit that resulted in discussions with	7	Q. I apologize to Mr. Christian in
7	management about them booking the additional	8	absentia.
8	reserve that we've talked about.	9	I'm going to flip you or ask you
9		10	to flip with me to the Bates label page ending 09:49:50
10	Q. Well come to area.	11	
11	, u at t the	12	A. Mind if I peruse it briefly?
12		13	Q. Please, go ahead.
13		14	
14	00.47.22	15	Q. Yes, please. 09:50:37
15	aging with a roughly 15:000 himself above date	16	tree
16		17	
17		18	
18		19	and the second s
19		20	00.50.40
20	Q. And their the americane is reading	21	
21		22	
22		23	
23	Q. That is a difference generated from	24	
24		- 1	00.E1.07
25	5 yesterday, as you understand it, am I right? 09:48:03	1 4	michiodology, car does not propose an entry.
- 1			

2	Page 322 C&L has prepared an additional analysis that uses AGH reserve percentages and the client has	1	Page 324 A. Correct.
2 3 4	C&L has prepared an additional analysis that uses AGH reserve percentages and the client has		
2 3 4	uses AGH reserve percentages and the client has		
3 4	uses AGH reserve percentages and the chere has	2	Q. Again, it is a document that has
4	hadradi additional recense "an additional	3	been marked as reviewed and last modified by
	booked" additional reserve "an additional	4	you?
5	reserve for bad debt." Is that right? A Yes 09:51:14	5	A. Correct. 10:15:22
	71. 100.	6	Q. And completed by Mr. Christian?
6	Q. Have I read that accurately?	7	A. Yes.
7	A. Yes.	8	Q. Can you generally describe what
8	Q. I will represent to you that we	9	this work paper is for us?
	have a number of additional work papers that relate to St. Chris and other Delaware Valley 09:51:24	10	A. Can I take a look at it, please? 10:15:22
10	Totale to be child and benefit bearing	11	Q. Yes.
11	Obligated Group hospitals at 6-30-96 that do	12	A. It can't get any smaller, I know
	not include such a note, and as we are going to	13	that.
13	be together a number of hours more, I didn't	14	It appears to be a balance sheet
	think it necessary to show them to you. Do you have any idea why those 09:51:40	15	approach to estimating a reserve that 10:15:22
15	Do you have any taken they are	16	management completed for AGH as of June 30th,
16	hospitals would not have the same note in their	17	1996.
17	schedules of similar substance?	18	Q. Do you recall seeing the document
18	MR. RYAN: Objection to the lack of	19	before today?
	foundation. A I don't recall a reason. 09:51:52	20	A. No. 10:15:23
20	A. I don't recan a reason.	21	Q. I'm going to ask you to flip back
21	MR. JONES: Let's take a morning	22	with me to Exhibit 4387, which is I believe a
22	break here.	23	set of schedules that we've looked at earlier
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record	24	today for Hahnemann University Hospital.
24	at 9:52.	25	
25	(Recess had.)	23	Have you got that the
	Page 323		Page 325
1	Q. Mr. Kirstein, I'm going to hand you	1	you?
2	back just for a moment Exhibit 4023, the one	2	A. Yes.
3	that we were referring to when we took our	3	 Q. Keeping the AGH schedule, Exhibit
4	morning break, and just ask you if the note on	4	1520, nearby, would you look with me at page
5	page 993, which starts "although there is a 10:15:20	5	ending 1101 of Exhibit 4387? That's 1101. 10:15:23
6	difference between the reserves" was drafted in	6	A. Okay.
7	the first instance by you?	7	Q. What do we have presented here on
8	A. Your question is did I draft it?	8	this page in the Hahnemann University
9	Q. Yes.	9	10.15.24
10	A. I don't recall. 10:15:20	10	
11	Q. Do you know who did draft it?	11	
12	A. No. I told you Brian Christian did	12	
13	the detail work. That would be my expectation	13	percentages?
14	that he did, but that doesn't mean that he did.	14	A. I don't recall, but I believe management provided this information to Coopers 10:15:24
15	I just don't recall. 10:15:21	15	management provided this information in
16	Q. Mr. Kirstein, I've now handed you	16	the state of the s
17	Exhibit 1520. Do you recognize this document	17	
18	as another work paper relating to the June 30,	18	
	'96 or the audit of the January 30, '96	19	40.45.24
19	financial statements at AHERF with the name 10:15:21	20 21	The state of the s
19 20		1 41	
19 20 21	Inpatient and Outpatient Bad Debt Reserve		Δ I don't recall specifically asking
19 20 21 22	Analysis?	22	
19 20 21 22 23	Analysis? A. Yes.	22 23	3 that.
19 20 21 22	Analysis? A. Yes. Q. It refers in particular to	22	that. Q. Do you know if anybody at Coopers &

13 (Pages 322 to 325)

	Page 346			Page 348
1	referred to at year-end?	1	A. I'd call it a fact, not a	
	MR. RYAN: Objection to form.	2	phenomenon, yes.	
2	a manufacture of the state of t	3	Q. Do you recall specific	
3		4	conversations with Mr. Buettner about this	
4	considered, keeping in mind that 17.5 million	5	topic? 10:42:57	
	dollar adjustment is the result of C&L 10:40:19	6	topic:	
6	conducting our audit procedures, not just on	-		
7	these reserve tables, but all the other audit	7	conversations, but I've told you, as I said a	
8	procedures we've talked about, like subsequent	8	couple questions ago, the audit team, me	
9	cash and the analytics and bad debt reserves	9	included and Bill Buettner, were aware of	40.42.07
10	and sitting down and looking at it 10:40:35	10	this were aware of the reserve methodology,	10:43:07
11	collectively. So looking at the control issues	11	I believe at least in '95 and definitely in	
12	we were aware of, looking at the audit testing,	12	'96.	
13	looking at the information we had in front of	13		
14	us. And this would be one piece of information	14	(Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4388	
ı	that we were aware of at that time. 10:40:43	15	was marked for purposes of	
15	that we were aware or	16	identification.)	
16		17		
17	to it, though?	18	Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you	
18	A. To what? What's the "it"?	19	Exhibit 4388, another work paper related to	
19	Q. To the MCPH/EPPI	20	MCPH and its bad debt reserve, at least by	10:43:48
20	A. Okay. 10:40:53		name. Can you take a minute to review the wor	·k
21	Q self pay reservation that we've	21	paper and then we'll have a few questions.	
22	just been discussing. Do you ever remember	22		
23	putting a number to that that was then included	23	A. Okay.	
24	in some set of numbers that added to 17 or 17.5	24	Okay.	0:44:31
25	million dollars? 10:41:07	25	Q. This is a document that includes 1	0.77.51
<u> </u>		-		
	Page 347		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Page 349
1	 A. No, I don't recall putting a number 	1	the letters in its on its face page, PBC.	
2	to that, but I don't think that was the	2	In audit speak, that means prepared by client?	
3	objective. Again, the 17.5, as I recall it, is	3	A. Correct.	
4	the result of dialogue with C&L and management	4	Q. Do you recall reviewing this	
5	as to what we think might be a reasonable 10:41:21	5	schedule in connection with your work on the	10:44:43
6	estimate for their reserve for bad debts to	6	AHERF audit for 1996?	
7	assess their accounts in net realizable value.	7	A. No, I do not.	
8	Q. I'm going to ask you to flip back	8	Q. Do you know how this schedule	
9	to 4201. I believe you have it. It was the	9	works?	
1 -	AHERF bullet points with lots of handwriting 10:42:03	10	A. I haven't looked at it until you 10:4	14 :51
10	that I think we attributed to Mr. Buettner.	11		
11	It's the next one. There we go, at	12		
12	least that looks like it from here.	13	•	
1 4 ~	least that looks like if IfOIII BEIC.	14		
13				
14	A. Okay, I think that's it.	1	O Handing you now what we've marked	10:45:40
14 15	A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? 10:42:15	15		10:45:40
14	A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? 10:42:15 Q. Yes.	15 16	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this	
14 15	 A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? 10:42:15 Q. Yes. A. Got it. 	15 16 17	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that	:
14 15 16	 A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? 10:42:15 Q. Yes. A. Got it. Q. I'm going to direct your attention 	15 16 17 18	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that by name refers to EPPI bad debt reserve, client	:
14 15 16 17	 A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? 10:42:15 Q. Yes. A. Got it. Q. I'm going to direct your attention now on Exhibit 4201, Mr. Kirstein, to the sixth 	15 16 17 18 19	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that by name refers to EPPI bad debt reserve, client methodology.	t
14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? Q. Yes. A. Got it. Q. I'm going to direct your attention now on Exhibit 4201, Mr. Kirstein, to the sixth item in Mr. Buettner's list. It is indeed 10:42:31	15 16 17 18 19 20	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that by name refers to EPPI bad debt reserve, client methodology. A. I don't recall seeing it prior to 10:4	t
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? Q. Yes. A. Got it. Q. I'm going to direct your attention now on Exhibit 4201, Mr. Kirstein, to the sixth item in Mr. Buettner's list. It is indeed 10:42:31	15 16 17 18 19	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that by name refers to EPPI bad debt reserve, client methodology. A. I don't recall seeing it prior to 10:4 today.	t
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? 10:42:15 Q. Yes. A. Got it. Q. I'm going to direct your attention now on Exhibit 4201, Mr. Kirstein, to the sixth item in Mr. Buettner's list. It is indeed headed MCPH. Can you read the note for me?	15 16 17 18 19 20	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that by name refers to EPPI bad debt reserve, client methodology. A. I don't recall seeing it prior to 10:4 today.	t
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? Q. Yes. A. Got it. Q. I'm going to direct your attention now on Exhibit 4201, Mr. Kirstein, to the sixth item in Mr. Buettner's list. It is indeed 10:42:31 headed MCPH. Can you read the note for me? A. "Aging must be revised, not	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that by name refers to EPPI bad debt reserve, client methodology. A. I don't recall seeing it prior to 10:4 today. Q. Do you know what the notation on the second page means?	t
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? Q. Yes. A. Got it. Q. I'm going to direct your attention now on Exhibit 4201, Mr. Kirstein, to the sixth item in Mr. Buettner's list. It is indeed 10:42:31 headed MCPH. Can you read the note for me? A. "Aging must be revised, not included for third-party billings."	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that by name refers to EPPI bad debt reserve, client methodology. A. I don't recall seeing it prior to 10:4 today. Q. Do you know what the notation on the second page means? The document is marked as reviewed	6:00
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay, I think that's it. 4201? Q. Yes. A. Got it. Q. I'm going to direct your attention now on Exhibit 4201, Mr. Kirstein, to the sixth item in Mr. Buettner's list. It is indeed 10:42:31 headed MCPH. Can you read the note for me? A. "Aging must be revised, not included for third-party billings." Q. That's the same phenomenon we've	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as Exhibit 4028, do you recall seeing this document before today? It is a work paper that by name refers to EPPI bad debt reserve, client methodology. A. I don't recall seeing it prior to 10:4 today. Q. Do you know what the notation on the second page means? The document is marked as reviewed	t

19 (Pages 346 to 349)

·iair	Kirstein		
	250		Page 352
	Page 350	1	
1	A. Correct.	1	Q. The inpatient what is it that these sets of schedules attempt to accomplish,
2	Q. On the second page, the notation	2	
	next to the total reserve amount at EPPI has a	3	as you understand them?
	figure of 1.2 million and change and then a	4	A. They appear to be schedules
5	figure in the right-hand margin. Is that a 10:46:28	5	prepared by AHERF management to estimate what 10:50:05
6	work paper number, to your recollection, or is	6	the reserve may be at the hospitals, MCP and
7	that some adjustment to the total?	7	EPPI, using a balance sheet approach, balance
8	A. You mean the 53-157?	8	sheet methodology like we've talked about
9	Q. Yes.	9	earlier today.
10	A. I think that's a reference to work 10:46:41	10	Q. And, in fact, it calculates the 10:50:23
11	paper 53-157.	11	reserve calculated for inpatients at page
	Q. Mr. Kirstein, we're handing you now	12	ending 181 is roughly 8.5 million dollars?
12	Exhibit 1075, which is a working paper with the	13	A. That's what it says, yes.
13		14	O. The reserve for outpatient accounts
14	name MCPH, East Falls, Inpatient Bad Debt Analysis, 6-30-96 Using HUH Methodology, 10:47:18	15	at page 185 is roughly 8.9 million dollars? 10:50:44
15	Analysis, 6 36 36 65/19 11011 11011 11015	16	A. Correct.
16	Did I at least read the name right?		Q. And the that is those are
17	A. Yes.	17	
18	Q. I'm sorry, there are a number of	18	both at MCPH, right?
19	work papers here attached, but that's at least	19	A. I think so. Hold on. Yes.
20	the first one. They're combined into one 10:47:35	20	Q. And the reserve for accounts at 10:50:58
21	document.	21	EPPI, E P P I, Eastern Pennsylvania Psychiatric
22	A. I'm sorry?	22	Institute, is roughly 4.2 million dollars?
23	Q. I was informing you that if you	23	A. Correct.
24	take a moment to review the document, as I'm	24	Q. I'll represent to you that those
25	going to ask you to, you'll see that there are 10:47:43	25	total to more than 21 million dollars. 10:51:23
-	going to don /		
	Page 351		Page 353
١,	two or three documents here combined into one	1	I'm going to ask you now to flip
1		2	and the second and th
2	set of work papers.	3	
3	A. Okay.	4	the reserve that they generate
4	Q. The working paper names appear to	5	40.54.45
5	DC Siffinds. 1 Of infocusion, in 7 to 1 to 1 to 2	6	- LEDY
6	1184, you'll see the working paper name MCP	1	
7	East Falls Outpatient Bad Debt Analysis 6-30-96	7	
8	Using HUH Methodology.	8	the sales all prepared by the client
9	A. Correct.	9	40 54.50
10	Q. So if you'll take a moment to 10:48:06	10	
1			T مطاطات مینظیمانی باید باید از ا
11		11	
11 12	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay.	12	wasn't making a particular noting for
12	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay.		wasn't making a particular noting for
12 13	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document	12 13 14	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper.
12 13 14	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today?	12 13	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an 10:52:03
12 13 14 15	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13	12 13 14	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them
12 13 14 15 16	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it	12 13 14 15	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay?
12 13 14 15 16 17	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something	12 13 14 15 16	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something related to using the Hahnemann HUH methodology	12 13 14 15 16 17	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay? Q. I understand your position. I
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something related to using the Hahnemann HUH methodology sometime during prep.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay? Q. I understand your position. I guess I just want to make sure we've got the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something related to using the Hahnemann HUH methodology sometime during prep. Q. It may be one of these documents? 10:49:25	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay? Q. I understand your position. I guess I just want to make sure we've got the right exhibit numbers.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something related to using the Hahnemann HUH methodology sometime during prep. Q. It may be one of these documents? 10:49:25 A. It's one of these documents, I	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay? Q. I understand your position. I guess I just want to make sure we've got the right exhibit numbers. Exhibit 4388 generates a reserve
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something related to using the Hahnemann HUH methodology sometime during prep. Q. It may be one of these documents? 10:49:25 A. It's one of these documents, I don't know which one.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay? Q. I understand your position. I guess I just want to make sure we've got the right exhibit numbers. Exhibit 4388 generates a reserve calculation of a little more than 2 million
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something related to using the Hahnemann HUH methodology sometime during prep. Q. It may be one of these documents? 10:49:25 A. It's one of these documents, I don't know which one. Q. It again has the heading PBC at	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay? Q. I understand your position. I guess I just want to make sure we've got the right exhibit numbers. Exhibit 4388 generates a reserve calculation of a little more than 2 million dollars at MCPH. And Exhibit 4028 generates a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something related to using the Hahnemann HUH methodology sometime during prep. Q. It may be one of these documents? 10:49:25 A. It's one of these documents, I don't know which one. Q. It again has the heading PBC at least on the first page?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 22 24	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay? Q. I understand your position. I guess I just want to make sure we've got the right exhibit numbers. 10:52:16 Exhibit 4388 generates a reserve calculation of a little more than 2 million dollars at MCPH. And Exhibit 4028 generates a reserve calculation at EPPI at a little over
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	familiarize yourself with the document. A. Okay. Q. Do you recall seeing this document before today? A. No, I do not. Well, you know, 10:49:13 sometime in prep I did see. I don't know if it was all three of them. I've seen something related to using the Hahnemann HUH methodology sometime during prep. Q. It may be one of these documents? 10:49:25 A. It's one of these documents, I don't know which one. Q. It again has the heading PBC at least on the first page?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	wasn't making a particular noting for particular importance the phrase client work paper. A. But that does mean something to an auditor. Dan Cancelmi and Schaffer and them prepared them and we just audit them, okay? Q. I understand your position. I guess I just want to make sure we've got the right exhibit numbers. Exhibit 4388 generates a reserve calculation of a little more than 2 million dollars at MCPH. And Exhibit 4028 generates a reserve calculation at EPPI at a little over

20 (Pages 350 to 353)

1 2	Page 354		
			Page 356
	not. Did I read the wrong number?	1	that they age using self pay accounts and
	A. 1.2 is right.	2	recommending that they should consider using
		3	balance sheet methodology similar to the other
3	Q. Yes, the 1.2 is right.	4	hospitals.
4	A. What did you say for the other one? O. Why don't you tell me what the 10:52:47	5	Q. Let me ask you to flip to, in 10:55:09
5	Q. Willy doller you can me mind	6	Exhibit 1075, page 1191. Do you see the
6	reserve is that's calculated.		
7	A. Let me see if I can find it.	7	outpatient reserve figure there?
8	I don't know, is it the total on	8	A. Yes.
9	1196 as 2.9?	9	Q. Generated from the Hahnemann
10	Q. That's the number. 2.99? 10:53:06	10	University comparison of 4.2 million dollars? 10:55:32
11	A. You're asking me to authenticate	11	A. Yes.
12	the document?	12	Q. I'm sorry, is that EPPI?
13	Q. No, I'm asking you	13	A. Yes, this is an EPPI schedule.
	A. That's a number that's there. I	14	Q. On 1185 of the same exhibit, 1075,
14	mean, it says total reserve. I think that's 10:53:12	15	we have an 8.9 million dollar figure for MCPH 10:56:05
15	what it reads. It's hard to read. 2.936,	16	outpatient accounts. Is that right?
16		17	A. Yes.
17	2.930, something like that.	18	Q. Do you recall making any comparison
18	Q. That's the number I want you to	19	between any of these Hahnemann methodology
19	tell me.	l	generated numbers and any of the numbers 10:56:36
20	That's the number at which 10:53:21	20	generated name of and any
21	hospital?	21	generated by the other other numbers
22	MR. RYAN: Objection.	22	generated by the client at MCPH and EPPI?
23	A. MC the schedule is labeled	23	A. Do I recall doing that?
24	Medical College. MCP.	24	Q. Yes.
25	Q. The exhibit number is? 10:53:28	25	A. No. 10:56:46
		┢	Dans 25"
	Page 355	1	Page 357 Q. Do you recall being concerned about
1	A. 4388.	1	or having discussions with anyone about the
2	Q. The 1.2 million is at EPPI?	2	outcome of any such comparison?
3	A. Correct.	3	
4	Q. And the exhibit number is?	4	
5	A. 4028. 10:53:36	5	it was generally and over the
6	 Q. Do you recall discussing this 	6	used the same pay aging.
7	difference, that is, the difference between the	7	
8	21 million dollar figure generated from the HUH	8	
9	methodology or the Hahnemann University	9	
10	methodology and the figures generated in the 10:53:53	10	
11	two work papers we just mentioned, the 2.9	11	
	million dollar figure and the 1.2 million	12	audit to try to assess the net realizable value
12		13	
13	dollar figure? MR. RYAN: Objection to the lack of	14	
14		15	
15	Touridation that this is an appropriate	16	
16	comparison.	17	
17	Q. Do you recall discussing the		·
18	difference in the figures generated?	18	
19	A. With whom?	19	
20	Q. With anyone during your audit work 10:54:24	20	, dide are, should constant
	in connection with AHERF in fiscal year for	21	
21	fiscal year 1996.	22	
	listai veal 1990.	مما	a little begin but I placknow that Dan
22		23	
22 23	A. No.	22	4 Cancelmi and Robin Schaffer came from an
22	A. No. As I said earlier, I generally	24	4 Cancelmi and Robin Schaffer came from an

12 A. I don't recall why they were 13 included in the work papers. Earlier we talked 14 that generally, I think you showed me some 15 documents that we anticipated wanting to look 15 at the reserves from using a balance sheet 16 approach, and that appears to be what this is. 17 approach, and that appears to be what this is. 18 So that's a possible explanation for why 19 they're in the work papers. 20 MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 21 break here. 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 23 at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number 24 one, volume two. 25 (Recess had.) Page 359 1	1ark	Kirstein		Volume 2
1 with us. So he's a smart guy, too. He knows 2 how to account for reserves and he can do 3 whatever analysis he wanted to do to close his 4 books. That's his job. Q. Do you know why the comparison with 5 the HUH methodology with the 8 million dollar 5 sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, all of which total 6 the HUH methodology with the 8 million dollar sum, 7 the 4.3 million dollar sum, all of which total 7 21 million dollars or all title more than that, 8 million dollars or all title more than that, 9 the 4.3 million dollars or all title more than that, 10:58:28 11 were included in the work papers? 12 A. I don't recall why they were 13 included in the work papers. 14 A. The only reason I — if you 11:18:04 15 that garned that appears to be what this is. 16 So that's a possible explanation for why 16 they're in the work papers. 17 ME VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 18 the end of videotape number one, volume two. 19 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16:23 11 the Bates label CLO01200. And it is a working paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 17 A. Yes. 11:19:37 12 Q. I note on the face page that the codument, and then I'll have a very few this document, and then I'll have a very few this document, and then I'll have a very few to document in marked as reviewed by you and last codified by you. It shat right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. May Close the same of the case page that the codiment and part of the case page than the comment or review. I don't recall if I saw this located the reviewed proving they're in the work papers. 19 A. The only reason I — if you 11:18:04 11 understand what reviewed means – I mean I reviewed the entire accounts receivable area. 11 the reserves from using a balance sheet the entire accounts receivable area. 12 reviewed the entire accounts receivable area. 13 This is certainly in there, it was available for review. I don't recall if I saw this seerification. 16 Q. Does the last modified by category, which starts with the service with the service was the		Page 358		Page 360
low to account for reserves and he can do whatever analysis he wanted to do to close his books. That's his job. Q. Do you know why the comparison with 10:58:14 fee HUH methodology with the 8 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 4.3 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 4.3 million dollar sum, the 4.3 million dollar sum, the 4.3 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 4.3 million		-	1	_
whatever analysis he wanted to do to close his books. That's his job. Q. Do you know why the comparison with III.15.52 It were included in the work papers? A. I don't recall will will the work papers. I don't recall will will the work papers. I don't recall will ye were I documents that we anticipated wanting to look A the tenserves from using a balance sheet A table generally. I think you showed me some documents that we anticipated wanting to look A the reserves from using a balance sheet A paperach, and that appears to be what this is. So that's a possible explanation for why they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has your name next to it, indicate to you they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Lefs take our next Which has	1	with us. So ne's a smart guy, too. He knows		
books. That's his job. Q. Do you know why the comparison with the HUH methodology with the 8 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 3.5 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 3.5 million dollar sum, the 4.3 million dollar sum, the 4.3 million dollar sum, the 3.5 million dollar sum, the 4.3 million dollar sum, t				
5 Q. Do you know why the comparison with 10:58:14 bt HUH methodology with the 8 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.9 millio				
the HUH methodology with the 8 million dollar sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum that 4.1 million dollar sum, the 8.5				
sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.7 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, all of which total the 4.3 million dollars sum, all of which total were included in the work papers. Earlier we talked that generally, I think you showed me some documents that we anticipated wanting to look at the servers from using a balance sheet approach, and that appears to be what this is. So that's a possible explanation for why they're in the work papers. Participated wanting to look at the servers from using a balance sheet approach, and that appears to be what this is. So that's a possible explanation for why they're in the work papers. Page 359 MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 MR. JONES: Let's ta		Q. Do you know why the companion with 10.36.14		Q. Thi asking, alough, 2, the are
8 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum, all of which total 21 million dollars or all filter more than that, 10:58:28 11 were included in the work papers? 2 A. I don't recall why they were included in the work papers. Earlier we talked that generally, I think you showed me some documents that we anticipated wanting to look at the generally, I think you showed me some documents that we neticipated wanting to look at the generally, I think you showed me some documents that we neticipated wanting to look at the generally, I think you showed me some documents that we neticipated wanting to look at the generally, I think you showed me some some documents that we neticipated wanting to look at the general propose, and that appears to be what this is. So thats' a possible explanation for why they re in the work papers. 2 mR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 20 MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 21 break here. 2 mHz VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number one, volume two. 3 was marked for purposes of identification.) 4 identification.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at the theory of the proposes of identification.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at the stable CLOUIOLO. And it is a working paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end this document, and then I'll have a very few this document, and then I'll have a very few this document, and then I'll have a very few this document, and then I'll have a very few this document, and then I'll have a very few this document, and then I'll have a very few this document, and then I'll have a very few document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by ou. Is that right? 4 A. Ves. 3 last modified by ou obted measons I the see it during that time period? 4 A. The only reason I if you 11:18:04 4 at the reserves from using a balance sheet and this is certainly in there, it was available for me to review. I don't recall if I saw this pecif				
the 4.3 million dollar sum, all of which total 10 12 million dollars or a little more than that, 10:58:28 were included in the work papers? 21 A. I don't recall why they were 22 included in the work papers. Earlier we talked 23 that earners from using a balance sheet 25 documents that we anticipated wanting to look 26 at the reserves from using a balance sheet 27 approach, and that appears to be what this is. 28 So that's a possible explanation for why 29 they're in the work papers. 20 MR. JONES: Let's take our next 21 break here. 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 23 at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number 24 one, volume two. 25 (Recess had.) Page 359 2 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 3 was marked for purposes of 4 identification.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 6 identification.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 7 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume 8 two. 9 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you 10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 G-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:40 Page 359 1 Carrier to review. I don't recall if I saw this specific document or not. 11:18:15 Q. Dose the last modified by category, which has your name next to it, indicate to you think you actually did open up the document and the reviewed, it could — it shows as modified. 2 Q. That could be the case? 2 A. It could be, yes. 2 Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:36 A. Vise. Page 359 1 Carrier to review. I don't recall if I saw this specific document or not. 11:18:15 Q. Dose the last modified by category, which sharts with a flow out action of the record at the reviewed in the previewed the entire accounts receivable area. 11:18:15 Q. Dose the last modified by category, which sharts with a flow out action of the record at the form the reviewed in the province of the record at th		sum, the 8.5 million dollar sum, the 8.5		last modified it, do you doubt now that you did
10 21 million dollars or a little more than that, 10:58:28 11 were included in the work papers? 12 A. I don't recall withy they were 13 included in the work papers. Earlier we talked 14 that generally, I think you showed me some 15 documents that we anticipated wanting to look 16 at the reserves from using a balance sheet 16 approach, and that appears to be what this is. 18 So that's a possible explanation for why 19 they're in the work papers. 20 MR, JONES: Left's take our next 21 break here. 22 THE VIDEGGRAPHER: Off the record 23 at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number 24 one, volume two. 25 (Recess had.) Page 359 1 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 26 identification.) 11:14:19 16 THE VIDEGGRAPHER: On the record at 17 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume 18 two. 29 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you 10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11 the Bates label CLOILOD. And it is a working 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 This is certainly in there, it was available 14 the reviewed the entire accounts receivable area. 15 This is certainly in there, it was available 16 or me to review. I don't recall if I saw this 17 this is document, and that plus a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. The only reason I if you 11:18:04 10 a form to reviewed means I mean, I 11:18:15 10 A. The only reason I if you 11:18:16 11 understand what reviewed means I mean, I 12 reviewed the entire accounts receivable area. 11 inderstand what reviewed means I mean, I 11:18:15 14 the reviewed the entire accounts receivable area. 15 This is certainly in there, it was available 16 or me to review. I don't recall if I saw this 11:18:16 20 Do set the last modified by category, which has you actually did open up the document and look at it, 40 you bekeed the reviewed, it could it shows as modified. 21 The VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 11:18:36 22 A. I doubt a the reviewed it is sold at it and the reviewed it is paper headed Reserve		million dollar sum, the 8.9 million dollar sum,	-	
were included in the work papers? A. I don't recall why they were included in the work papers. Earlier we talked that generally, I think you showed me some documents that we anticipated wanting to look at the serveres from using a balance sheet approach, and that appears to be what this is. approach, and that appears to be what this is. So that's a possible explanation for why they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by this decorate number of the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by they are in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 by the you decide by out the document and look at it? A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 by the document and look at it? A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 by the document and look at it? A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 by the document and look at it? A. I don't recall. A. I don't recall if I saw this specific document or not. 11:18:16 A. I don't recall if I saw this specific document or not. 11:18:16 A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 A. It don't recall. A. I don't recall. A. I don't recall. A. I don't recall. A. I don't recall if I saw this specific document or not. 11:18:16 A. I don't recall. A. I don't recall. A. I don't recall. A. What do you mean by that? A. What do you describe - is this a bad debt roll-forward p		the 4.3 million dollar sum, all of which total		
11 National Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of Contr		ZI Illimoli donais of a mane in a		7th The only reason = " / - "
included in the work papers. Earlier we talked that generally, I think you showed me some documents that we anticipated wanting to look at the reserves from using a balance sheet approach, and that appears to be what this is. So that's a possible explanation for why they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 20 break here. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number one, volume two. (Recess had.) Page 359 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 was marked for purposes of identification.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 11:16. This is videotape number two. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume two. Which has you name next to it, indicate to you that you actually did open up the document and look at it? A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 yesterday how if you checked the reviewed, it could be, yes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 A. I don't recall. Q. Does the last modified by ou detailed to you actually did open up the document and look at it? A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 yesterday how if you checked the reviewed, it could be, yes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 A. I don't recall if I saw this specific document to not. The VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:58:56 21 yesterday how if you checked the reviewed, it could be, yes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 A. Videofre to you describe in the fore today? A. I don't recall if I saw this specific document is marked at the you actually id open up the document and look at it? A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 yesterday how if you checked the reviewed, it could be, yes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 A. I don't recall in the could be the case? A. Videofre to you we and the fore by our details in the could be the case? A. Videofre to you we are to tit, indicate to you the document is marked at the form to review. I form the could be the case? A. Videofre to you we	11	were included in the work papers?		
that generally, I think you showed me some documents that we anticipated wanting to look of at the reserves from using a balance sheet approach, and that appears to be what this is. So that's a possible explanation for why they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 This is the end of videotape number at 10:59:56 This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number at 10:59. This is videotape number at 11:18:26 Page 359 The VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:59. This is videotape number two, volume at 10:59. This is videotape number two,	12			
specific documents that we anticipated wanting to look at documents that we anticipated wanting to look at the reserves from using a balance sheet apapers for be what this is. The VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:58:56 (Recess had.) Page 359 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 was marked for purposes of identification.) The VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:14:19 The VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:14:19 The VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 11:16:46 Who. W	13	included in the work papers. Earlier we talked		
act the reserves from using a balance sheet paproach, and that appears to be what this is. So that's a possible explanation for why they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number (Recess had.) Page 359 (Recess had.) Page 359 (Recess had.) Page 359 (Recess had.) Page 359 (Recess had.) Page 369 (Recess had.) Page 369 A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 yesterday how if you checked the reviewed, it could — it shows as modified. The Could be the case? A. It could be yes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 chance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work identification.) A. I form treall. A. What do you mean by that? A. What do you mean by that? A. What do you mean by that? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 A. Ne, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 yesterday how if you checked the reviewed, it could — it shows as modified. Q. That could be the case? A. It could be yes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work paper it is? A. What do you mean by that? A. What do you mean by that? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University had decented the page 1021	14	that generally, I think you showed me some		
approach, and that appears to be what this is. so that's a possible explanation for why they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 A. No, not necessarily. We talked 11:18:26 could it shows as modified. Q. That could be, tyes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:36 Chance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work paper it is? A. Hance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work paper it is? Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? A. What do you mean by that? Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11:16:16 A. What do you mean by that? Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11:16:46 A. What do you mean by that? Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end the Seas Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Do you see that? A. Yes. 11:16:46 Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Page 359 A. I don't recall. Q. Can you descri	15	documents that we anticipated wanting to look 10:58:42		specific document of noti
18 So that's a possible explanation for why 19 they're in the work papers. 20 MR, JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 21 break here. 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number one, volume two. 25 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 was marked for purposes of identification.) 26 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 was marked for purposes of identification.) 37 J1:16. This is videotape number two, volume two. 48 two. 59 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16:23 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 10 Can you describe — is this a bad debts. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 11:17:31 20 Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? 21 A. Yes. 22 (The rould be, yes. 23 Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:26 24 A. It could be, yes. 25 Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 26 A. I don't recall. 27 A. I don't recall. 28 Q. Do you recognize what kind of work paper it is? 29 A. What do you mean by that? 29 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 15 A. Yes. 19 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 16 dedebts." Is that right? 29 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? 29 Q. In the one the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last 27 and MCC is what — the hospital 28 and MCP as well, 11:19:37 and 29 and MCC is what — the hospital 29 and MCC is wha	16			
they're in the work papers. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 break here. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number (Recess had.) Page 359 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 was marked for purposes of identification.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 11:16:16. This is videotape number two, volume two. MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number volume two. The videotape number two, volume two. MR. Jones as modified. The videotape number volume two. MR. Jones as modified. MR. Jones as modifie	17	approach, and that appears to be what this is.		which has your name next to it, indicate to you
MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 20 MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56 21 break here. 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number one, volume two. 25 (Recess had.) Page 359 1	18	So that's a possible explanation for why		
to break here. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number one, volume two. (Recess had.) Page 359 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 was marked for purposes of identification.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume two. Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 6-30-96. Is that correct? A. Yes. 11:16:46 Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified. 22 yesterday how if you checked the reviewed, it could — it shows as modified. A. It could be, yes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 chance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? A. It don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work paper it is? A. What do you mean by that? Q. Can you describe — is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11:16:46 A. Yes. 11:16:46 A. Yes. 11:16:46 Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Q. And MCC is what — the hospital we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 correct? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 A. Yes. 11:16:46 Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Q. In says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? A. Yes. Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears in the 11:19:37 correct? A. I think that's right, yes. Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears in the 11:19:37	19			
22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record 23 at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number 24 one, volume two. 25 (Recess had.) Page 359 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 3 was marked for purposes of identification.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume 8 two. Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 6-30-96. Is that correct? A. Yes. 11:16:46 Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified at 10:59. That could be the case? A. Yes. 22 could it shows as modified. Q. That could be the case? A. It could be, yes. 23 Q. That could be the case? A. It could be, yes. 24 A. It could be, yes. 25 Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 chance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work paper it is? A. What do you mean by that? Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11:16:46 Q. Yould you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? A. Yes. Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? A. Yes. Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears in the solution deadly appears to show both a calculated reserve.	20	MR. JONES: Let's take our next 10:58:56		A. Not hecoses, if the semi-
at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number one, volume two. (Recess had.) Page 359 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 (Thereupon, Deposition Falistic 49 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 (Thereupon, Deposition Falistic 49 (Thereupon, Deposition Falistic 49	21			yesterday how if you checked the reviewed, it
at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number one, volume two. (Recess had.) Page 359 That could be the case? A. It could be, yes. Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 Page 361 Chance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work in the fore today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work in the fore today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work in the fore today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work in the fore today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work in the fore today? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work in the fore today? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 In going to ask you to turn to page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? A. Yes. Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? A. Yes.	22			
24 A. It could be, yes. 25 Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37 Page 359 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 3 was marked for purposes of identification.) 5 ————————————————————————————————————		at 10:59. This is the end of videotape number		•
Page 359 Chance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? A. I don't recall. C. Do you recognize what kind of work paper itsi? C. Can you describe — is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? A. Yes. C. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. C. A. Okay. C. Do your recognize what kind of work paper itsi? C. Can you describe — is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. C. Tim going to ask you to turn to page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Co you see that? C. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Co you see that? C. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? A. Yes. C. A. I think that's right, yes. C. This schedule or roll-forward Cornect? C. A. I think that's right, yes. C. This schedule or roll-forward C. Do you believe you've seen it before today? A. I don't recall. C. Do you recognize what kind of work A. What do you mean by that? C. Can you describe — is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? C. Can you describe — is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? C. Can you describe — is this? C. Can			24	
Page 359 1 Chance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? 3 Was marked for purposes of identification.) 5 1 1:14:19 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at two. 9 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16:23 11 the Bates label CL01200. And it is a working paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 12 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 21 I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. Page 369 1 chance to look at it, do you believe you've seen it before today? 3 A. I don't recall. 4 Q. Do you recognize what kind of work 11:18:49 4 A. What do you mean by that? 7 Q. Can you describe — is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? 9 A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11:16:23 11 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to 12 page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what — the hospital we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 correct? 20 A. I think that's right, yes. 21 Correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve			25	Q. My question now is, having had a 11:18:37
1 chance to look at it, do you believe you've 2 seen it before today? 3 was marked for purposes of 4 identification.) 5			-	
2 (Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389 3 was marked for purposes of 4 identification.) 5		Page 359		
was marked for purposes of identification.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at two. Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you and last modified by you. Is that right? A. Yes. A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work paper it is? A. What do you mean by that? Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 In the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. A. Okay. Okay. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? A. Yes. A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recognize what kind of work paper it is? A. What do you mean by that? A. What do you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward as series of them? A. What do you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? A. What do you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 Looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 A. Yes. A. Yes. Julianue (a. What do you mean by that? A. We heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. A. I't negrify (a. Which is headed Allegheny University ha	1		1	
4 identification.) 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 7 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume 8 two. 9 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you 10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16:23 11 the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 21 Inote on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 11:14:19 4 Q. Do you recognize what kind of work 5 paper it is? 11:18:49 6 A. What do you mean by that? 7 Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? 9 A. I've heard that term used and this 10 looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to 12 page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for 17 bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital 19 we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 20 Correct? 21 A. I think that's right, yes. 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve	2	(Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4389		•
4 identification.) 5	3	was marked for purposes of	3	
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 7 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume 8 two. 9 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you 10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16:23 11 the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. Okay. 11:17:31 Q. I note on the face page that the 20 document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 11:19:07 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 7 Q. Can you describe is this a bad 4 debt roll-forward or a series of them? 9 A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 10 A. What do you mean by that? 7 Q. Can you describe is this a bad 4 debt roll-forward or a series of them? 9 A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11 Use heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11 Use heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 12 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Do you see that? 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Do you see that? 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 11:19:29 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? 28 A. Yes. 11:19:37 29 A. Yes. 11:19:07 20 A. Yes. 11:19:07 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 11:19:07 23 A. Yes. 11:19:07 24 A. Yes. 11:19:07 25 A. Yes. 11:19:07 26 A. Yes. 11:19:07 27 Do you see that? 28 A. Yes. 11:19:07 29 A. I'w heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward about the record of them? 29 A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward about the record of them? 29 A.	4	identification.)	1	
7 11:16. This is videotape number two, volume 8 two. 9 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you 10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16:23 11 the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 21:17:31 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 7 Q. Can you describe is this a bad debt roll-forward or a series of them? 8 debt roll-forward or a series of them? 9 A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11 Depart the haseded Allegheny University 12 Page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 11:19:29 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 20 We've been referring to as MCPH as well, 21 correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve	5	=	1	paper it is:
8 two. 9 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you 10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11 the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 21:17:31 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 8 debt roll-forward or a series of them? 9 A. I've heard that term used and this looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 10 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to 11 page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital 20 we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 21 Correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at	6	in the state of th
8 two. 9 Q. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you 10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11 the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 21:17:31 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 11:19:07 11 U. I'm going to ask you to turn to 12 page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 11:19:29 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital 20 we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 21 correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve	7	11:16. This is videotape number two, volume	1	
10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16:23 11 the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 11:17:31 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 11:19:07 10 looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 11 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to 12 page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 14 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 11:19:29 12 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for 17 bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. Q. And MCC is what the hospital we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 20 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve 25 correct? 25 corrects 26 corrects 26 corrects 27 corrects 27 corrects 27 corrects 27 corrects 27 corrects 28 corrects 29 corrects 29 corrects 20 corrects 21:19:67	1		1 1	
10 a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11 the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working 12 paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts 13 Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 11:17:31 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 11:19:49 11 looks similar to a bad debt roll-forward, yes. 11:19:07 12 Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to 12 page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 11:19:29 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital 20 we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 21 correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears to show both a calculated reserve	9	O. Mr. Kirstein, we've just handed you		
the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end A. Yes. C. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 1021, which is headed Allegheny University Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Do you see that? A. Yes. Do you see that? A. Yes. C. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? A. Yes. C. And MCC is what the hospital we've been referring to as MCPH as well, we've been referring to as MCPH as well, correct? A. I think that's right, yes. Correct? A. I think that's right, yes. Correct? A. Yes. Correct? A. I think that's right, yes. Correct? Corr		a new exhibit, Exhibit 4389, which starts with 11:16:23	10	
paper headed Reserve for Bad Debts Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Do you see that? A. Yes. 11:19:29 A. Yes. 12 page 1021, which is headed Allegneny University Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Do you see that? A. Yes. 11:19:29 A. Yes. 12 page 1021, which is headed Allegneny University Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Do you see that? A. Yes. 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. Do you see that? A. Yes. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 17 bad debts." Is that right? A. Yes. 18 Q. And MCC is what the hospital 29 we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 21 correct? 20 A. I think that's right, yes. 21 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve	1	the Bates label CL001200. And it is a working	11	Q. I'm going to ask you to turn to
Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end 14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 21 1:17:31 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 13 Hospitals East Falls, formerly NCC, Inpatient. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital 20 we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 21 correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears to show both a calculated reserve			12	
14 6-30-96. Is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 11:17:31 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears to show both a calculated reserve. 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Yes. 11:19:29 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 11:19:37 24 A. Yes. 25 A. I think that's right, yes. 26 Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears to show both a calculated reserve.		Reconciliation dash MCP and EPPI for year-end	13	
15 A. Yes. 11:16:46 16 Q. Would you take a moment to review 17 this document, and then I'll have a very few 18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 11:17:31 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? 21 A. Yes. 11:19:29 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears to show both a calculated reserve. 24 A. Yes. 11:19:29 25 A. Yes. 11:19:29 26 A. Yes. 11:19:29 27 A. Yes. 11:19:29 28 A. Yes. 11:19:29 29 A. Yes. 11:19:29 20 A. Yes. 11:19:29 21 Correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve 25 appears to show both a calculated reserve 26 appears to show both a calculated reserve 27 appears to show both a calculated reserve 28 appears to show both a calculated reserve 28 appears to show both a calculated reserve 29 appears to show 20 appears 20 appear	1		14	
Q. Would you take a moment to review this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. A. Okay. Okay. Okay. 11:17:31 Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? A. Yes. 16 Q. It says, "Summary of reserves for bad debts." Is that right? A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital we've been referring to as MCPH as well, correct? 21 A. I think that's right, yes. 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears to show both a calculated reserve			15	A. 165.
this document, and then I'll have a very few questions about it for you. A. Okay. Ok			16	
18 questions about it for you. 19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital 20 we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 21 correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve			17	bad debts." Is that right?
19 A. Okay. 20 Okay. 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 19 Q. And MCC is what the hospital 20 we've been referring to as MCPH as well, 21 correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve			18	
Okay. 11:17:31 20 Okay. 11:17:31 21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 25 amount and an adjusted ending balance in the 11:19:47		questions about it is you.	19	
21 Q. I note on the face page that the 22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 21 correct? 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve	18	•		
22 document is marked as reviewed by you and last 23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 22 A. I think that's right, yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve	18 19	A. Okay.	20	we've been referring to as MCPH as well,
23 modified by you. Is that right? 24 A. Yes. 23 Q. This schedule or roll-forward 24 appears to show both a calculated reserve	18 19 20	A. Okay. Okay. 11:17:31		We've been relating to 15 that the
24 A. Yes. 25 amount and an adjusted ending balance in the 11:19:4	18 19 20 21	A. Okay. Okay. 11:17:31 Q. I note on the face page that the	21	correct?
At 17:30 25 amount and an adjusted ending halance in the 11:19:4	18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. Okay. 11:17:31 Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last	21 22	correct? A. I think that's right, yes.
25 Q. Do you have any doubt you saw it. 11.17.30 25 difficult and all disperses.	18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay. Okay. 11:17:31 Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right?	21 22 23	correct? A. I think that's right, yes. Q. This schedule or roll-forward
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Okay. Okay. 11:17:31 Q. I note on the face page that the document is marked as reviewed by you and last modified by you. Is that right? A. Yes.	21 22 23 24	correct? A. I think that's right, yes. Q. This schedule or roll-forward appears to show both a calculated reserve

	Kirstein		
	Page 362		Page 364
4	reserve in two columns on the right-hand side	1	earlier as to what they were geared towards in
		2	terms of auditing the net realizable value.
	of the page; is that right? A. That's what those are titled, yes.	3	Q. Do you recall ever discussing the
3	5 1400	4	15 million dollar difference with anyone?
4		5	A. No. I'm not sure that's something 11:22:38
5	Month inputions appears to make a series	6	that was within what we were trying to
6	million. And the actual amount in the ending	7	accomplish.
7	balance in the reserve account appears to be	8	Q. Do you recall ever learning that
8	2.85 million or 2.86 million. Is that right?		anyone proposed a 15 million dollar adjustment
9	A. Yes.	9	or roughly 15 million dollar adjustment to the 11:22:48
10	Q. I'm going to ask you to flip the 11:20:20	10	bad debt reserve at EPPI and MCC or MCPH at any
11	page to 1202. And this appears to be the	11	
12	similar schedule for East Falls or EPPI, E P P	12	time during your 1996 work?
13	I. Is that right?	13	A. I don't recall.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Do you recall whether this 15 million dollar difference was one of the 11:23:12
15	Q. And it notes a calculated reserve 11:20:32	15	Tillion dollar directioned tree area
16	amount of 1.2 million dollars.	16	building blocks that led to the 17.5 million
17	A. Right.	17	dollar proposed adjustment?
18	Q. And an actual or ending balance in	18	MR. RYAN: Objection.
19	the reserve account of a little less than	19	A. Yes, I don't view I follow your
20	\$500,000; is that right? 11:20:45	20	math. I don't view it as a difference. I 11:23:27
21	A. 478,000, yes.	21	mean, they're two different calculations that
22	Q. Then finally on the last page of	22	management provided or let me finish
23	the document, 203, we have the same or similar	23	management did to estimate what they wanted to
24	schedule for East Falls, formerly MCC,	24	record as a reserve. So that is to the first
25	outpatient with a calculated reserve of 2.47 11:21:01	25	point. 11:23:41
23	Outpatient with a calculated reserve of a service of the service o	<u> </u>	
	Page 363		Page 365
1	million dollars.	1	To the second point, I think I've
2	A. Correct.	2	said a couple of times, all of these factors
3	Q. And an ending balance of 3.2	3	were considered in coming up to our conclusion,
4	million dollars.	4	and the second testing accounts
5		4	C&L's conclusions related to the accounts
1 7	44.54.44	5	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using 11:23:54
i .	A. Yes. 11:21:11	1	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using 11:23:54
6	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures	5	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using 11:23:54 reserve methodology based on the self pay was
6 7	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad	5 6 7	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using 11:23:54 reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of.
6 7 8	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules,	5 6 7 8	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using 11:23:54 reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more
6 7 8 9	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules,	5 6 7 8 9	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant
6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33	5 6 7 8 9	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less 11:24:07
6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some 11:24:15
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the Hahnemann University reserve calculation at	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17 million dollar adjustment to total to get to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the Hahnemann University reserve calculation at MCP/EPPI.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17 million dollar adjustment to total to get to the 17 million dollar adjustment?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the Hahnemann University reserve calculation at MCP/EPPI. Are you following my math? 11:22:07	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17 million dollar adjustment to total to get to the 17 million dollar adjustment? A. No. I mean, I don't recall 11:24:37
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the Hahnemann University reserve calculation at MCP/EPPI. Are you following my math? 11:22:07 A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 21	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17 million dollar adjustment to total to get to the 17 million dollar adjustment? A. No. I mean, I don't recall 11:24:37 specifically making that link.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the Hahnemann University reserve calculation at MCP/EPPI. Are you following my math? 11:22:07 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall ever becoming aware	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 144 155 166 177 18 19 200 211 22	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17 million dollar adjustment to total to get to the 17 million dollar adjustment? A. No. I mean, I don't recall specifically making that link. Q. And I mean the 15 million dollars'
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the Hahnemann University reserve calculation at MCP/EPPI. Are you following my math? 11:22:07 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall ever becoming aware of that disparity or that difference?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 23	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17 million dollar adjustment to total to get to the 17 million dollar adjustment? A. No. I mean, I don't recall specifically making that link. Q. And I mean the 15 million dollars' difference related to this MCPH/EPPI
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the Hahnemann University reserve calculation at MCP/EPPI. Are you following my math? 11:22:07 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall ever becoming aware of that disparity or that difference? A. No. But that goes back to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 122 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17 million dollar adjustment to total to get to the 17 million dollar adjustment? A. No. I mean, I don't recall specifically making that link. Q. And I mean the 15 million dollars' difference related to this MCPH/EPPI difference related to this MCPH/EPPI calculation with the Hahnemann model.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. 11:21:11 Q. If you sum those actual figures together, that is, the amount of booked bad debt reserve for each of these three schedules, or reflected on each of these three schedules, the 2.85 million dollar figure, the \$478,000 11:21:33 figure and the 3.15 million dollar figure, I will represent to you that you get about 6.5 million dollars. A. Okay. Q. That amount is roughly 15 million 11:21:46 dollars less than the 21 million dollar and change figure that was generated from the Hahnemann University reserve calculation at MCP/EPPI. Are you following my math? 11:22:07 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall ever becoming aware of that disparity or that difference? A. No. But that goes back to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 122 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	receivable area. And clearly the MCP using reserve methodology based on the self pay was one of the factors that we were aware of. Q. But my question was a little more precise. Using the word difference only meant in the mathematical sense, one figure less another leads to a difference. A. Okay. Q. All I'm getting at now is do you specifically recall that as a part of your two-day meeting with Mr. Buettner or in some other way that you used a 15 million dollar figure in round numbers as a part of the 17 million dollar adjustment to total to get to the 17 million dollar adjustment? A. No. I mean, I don't recall specifically making that link. Q. And I mean the 15 million dollars' difference related to this MCPH/EPPI calculation with the Hahnemann model.

23 (Pages 362 to 365)

Mark	Kirstein		
	Page 386		Page 388
1	the right bill date. Why has it got the	1	something that you would do. I think the aged
2	balance on it. How are you guys dealing with	2	high dollar accounts, first of all, is normal
3	this. And any outcome of that test is, again,	3	and expected for a hospital the size of all the
4	I think it's a controls test. So if as a	4	AHERF hospitals. Most two or three of them
5	result of the test Brian became aware of 11:50:11	5	being academic medical centers that do some 11:52:26
1	potential issues around things that are cited	6	very significant procedures. So it is normal
6	like contractual allowances or not	7	to have high dollar accounts. It's normal to
1	reclassifying things to self pay or not taking	8	have some of them age and sometimes those take
8	write-offs on a timely basis, those would be	9	time. So, first of all, to have that is
9	raised as potential control matters to be 11:50:23	10	normal. 11:52:37
10	considered as a part of the accounts receivable	11	The tests that you're looking at
11		12	here, I believe, is a test of controls. And
12	audit.	13	that is we, the auditors, are looking to see if
13	Q. Do you know whether any of the high	14	management has the controls and if those
14	dollar accounts identified by Mr. Gedman or anybody else were written off as a consequence 11:50:33	15	controls are operating as expected around the 11:52:47
15	anybody eise were written on as a consequence 11.50.55	16	accounts, the patient accounts. And all of
16	of your year-end audit work for fiscal year	17	that gets factored into our overall assessment
17	1996?	18	of the accounts receivable which we've talked a
18	MR. RYAN: Objection.	19	couple times about at the end of the day there
19	A. I don't know.	20	was a proposed adjustment that management made. 11:52:59
20	Q. Do you recall discussing write-offs 11:50:45	21	Q. Can you tell me any dollar amount
21	at year-end 6-30-96 of high dollar accounts	22	that was a portion of the 17 or 17.5 million
22	with anyone or potential write-offs at AHERF or	23	dollar adjustment proposed at year-end fiscal
23	at C&L?	24	1996 that is attributable to, in your view,
24	MR. RYAN: Objection.	25	aged high dollar receivables? 11:53:15
25	A. No, I do not. 11:50:56	23	aged High dollar receivables.
	Page 387		Page 389
1	Q. Do you recall strike that.	1	MR. RYAN: Objection.
2	Do you recall adjusting the	2	A. I can't give you a specific amount
3	reserves at year-end 6-30-96 to account for the	3	the 17.5 attributes to that.
4	existence of high dollar accounts like those	4	
5	provided by Mr. Gedman in this exhibit? 11:51:19	5	(Thereupon, Deposition Exhibit 4390
6	A. I'm not sure I understand your	6	
1 7	question.	1 7	
8	MR. RYAN: Objection.	8	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	Q. Do you recall proposing an	9	Q. Mr. Kirstein, I've handed you
10	14.F1.20	10	44.54.47
ı	dajustinene to ano bad debat to be a filiale	11	6-30-96 audit work or work related to the
11	Education	12	
1		13	
13		14	Analysis, 6-30-96.
14	44-51-44	15	44 55 00
15	don't dimit dide makes any sense.	16	
16		17	
17		18	
18		19	
19		1	44 55 40
20	of all adjustifiche to the are	2:	7 1.00.
21		22	
22	existence on those hospitals' books of high	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23			- · ·
1 ~	MD DVAN, Cama abjection	1 74	4 IOPHURANOU-1
2 ⁴ 2 ⁵		2:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

29 (Pages 386 to 389)

Mark	Kirstein		Volume 2
***	Page 390		Page 392
1		1	sales per day. In a hospital it happens to be
1	Q. Handing you 4391 as well, and it has a heading, a work paper named Delaware	2	treating patients. But in retail, it could be
2	Valley Outpatient Days in A/R, 6-30-96, again	3	buying widgets. What's your average A/R
	marked as reviewed by you and last modified by	4	balance and you divide the two out.
4		5	So on 4229, East Falls is showing 11:58:01
5	you. 13 that right:	6	that based on the average revenue per day,
6		7	there's 113 days in accounts receivable at that
7	Q. Let's look at 4390 first. Could you take a peek at the short one-page schedule	8	point in time.
8	and tell me if you can identify it for me. And	9	Q. That's on Exhibit 4390?
9 10	then if you would look at 4391 because I 11:56:11	10	A. Yes. 11:58:13
i	believe they're similar, I think we can move	11	Q. Why do you calculate the statistic,
11 12	this along as expeditiously as possible.	12	or why did Coopers & Lybrand's calculate the
13	this along as expeditiously as possible.	13	statistic at AHERF or for AHERF in fiscal year
14	A. 163, tiley both appear to be	14	'96?
15	one for inpatient and one for outpatient. 11:56:31	15	A. We've talked a couple times about 11:58:25
16	Q. What is the phrase I'm sorry,	16	analytical analysis. Days in A/R was one of
17	finish your answer.	17	the analytics that we considered as part of our
18	A. I was going to say although 4391	18	overall package in looking at the accounts
19	does have, it looks like a typo.	19	receivable balances.
20	Q. Yes. 11:56:45	20	Q. Was this percentage or this figure, 11:58:37
21	A. If you look at 4321 it says	21	rather, days in A/R, compared by anyone at
22	inpatient days, but the heading is outpatient	22	Coopers & Lybrand to other hospitals or any
23	days.	23	other group of hospitals?
24	Q. I saw that as well.	24	A. I don't recall a specific step to
25	A. I assume that's a typo. 11:56:52	25	do that. I do believe it was discussed amongst 11:58:58
	/ii		
	Page 391		Page 393
1	Q. My question for you, can you	1	the audit team, particularly Bill Buettner,
2	describe for the jury, or anyone else that	2	myself, Brian Christian as part of our overall
3	might view this videotape, what days in A/R	3	summary of receivables. So sitting in that
4	means at Coopers & Lybrand in this time period,	4	room, you do have collective experience in the
5	or meant? 11:57:00	5	industry like Bill Buettner, who focused in on 11:59:11
6	A. Days in A/R means I think it's	6	the industry, and myself, who had some past
7	just a general term in finance, not just	7	experience as well.
8	Coopers & Lybrand. But it's the it's just	8	Q. So there was a discussion that you
9	an average, an analytic that you can look at	9	recall of days in A/R at AHERF hospitals as reflected in 4390 and 4391 as compared to days 11:59:25
10	for how many days are sitting in accounts 11:57:14	10	Teneced in 1950 and 1961 at 1961
11	receivable at a point in time. In this case	11	in A/R at other hospitals?
12	June 30th, '96 there's a balance. Does that	12	
13	represent 30 days of your business, you know, a	13	MR. RYAN: Objection. A. I didn't say I recall specific
14	hundred days of your business.	14	discussion. I said days in A/R definitely was 11:59:35
15	Q. It is a calculation, first, of net 11:57:26	15	discussed in that meeting. And sitting in that
16		16	room I cannot recall a specific one for one
17	average outstanding A/R balance?	17	
18		18	the state of the s
19		19	
20	here there might be multiple ways to 11:57:39	20	Have experienced people like bill bactaria.
21	calculate it. I'm certainly not an expert	21	
22	sitting here in how to calculate these in A/R,	22	
23	but this is what I recall doing. This is	23	
24		24	
25	the average revenue per day. So what's your 11:57:49	25	ulat soft of illiothlation is capable to be 12.00.01

30 (Pages 390 to 393)

Mark	Kirstein		Voit	ume z
	Page 394			e 396
1	considered.	1	MR. JONES: I think your objection	ľ
2	Q. You had experience with other	2	would be to the form of the question. I'll	
3	hospitals as well, did you not?	3	take the amendment.	d
4	A. Smaller hospitals. None the size	4	Q. Do you know whether	
5	of AHERF, but yes. 12:00:10	5	Mr. Christianson pulled this data from the 12:02:1	14
6	Q. Do you recall being troubled by,	6	audited financial statements	
7	with your industry experience, the days in A/R	7	MR. RYAN: Objection.	
8	calculated for AHERF hospitals?	8	Q the revenue data?	į
9	MR. RYAN: Objection.	9	 A. I think I said I don't know where 	
10	A. No, I don't recall that. 12:00:22	10	Brian got the information. 12:02:22	
11	O. Do you recall any discussions with	11	Q. Do you know when the audited	,
12	Mr. Buettner or anybody else on the engagement	12	financial statements were signed for fiscal	
	team about concern over the days in A/R at	13	year 1996?	P.
13	AHERF hospitals?	14	A. I don't recall.	
14	MR. RYAN: Objection. 12:00:35	15	Q. Do you know when they were issued 12:0	2:30
15 16	A. No, I do not.	16	for fiscal year 1996?	
17	I I II	17	MR. RYAN: I'm not sure what you	
18	Q. Do you know where the net revenue or revenue figures were drawn from on these	18	mean by issued.	
19	schedules, 4390 and 4391?	19	Q. Do you know?	
20	A. No, I don't. 12:00:48	20	MR. RYAN: Objection. 12:02:36	
21	Q. Do you expect in your practice as	21	A. What do you mean by issued?	
22	Coopers & Lybrand auditor that they were drawn	22	Q. What do you was released a	
23	from the audited financial statements or draft	23	better word for you?	
	audited financial statements at the time?	24	What's the word that would convey	
24 25	MR. RYAN: Objection. 12:01:02	25	available for public consumption in audit 12:02:	47
-	Page 395		Pa	ge 397
1	A. That's one option. I would also	1	speak?	
2	consider another option. Brian probably knows	2	MR. RYAN: I don't think these were	
3	best. But I would expect they come basically	3	ever available for public consumption, so I'll	
4	from the books and records of the organization	4	object to that.	- 4
5	because the audited financial statements at 12:01:13	5	MR. JONES: I think they were 12:02:	:54
6	that time weren't necessarily at this East	6	certainly shared with others by AHERF. Am I	
7	Falls/Elkins box St. Chris level, but they were	7	wrong on that?	
8	at a DVOG level. So I suspect they were	8	MR. RYAN: Not with the general	
9	probably from trial balances in the books and	9	public.	02.E0
10	records of management. 12:01:26	10	Tina Series Time I are say as a	02:59
11	O. I'm noting the date of the	11		
12	completed by document or completed by reference	12	MR. RYAN: They were shared with	
13	on these documents is 9-18-96.	13	the contract of the contract o	
14	If that post dates the issuance of	14	MR. JONES: Thank you.	:03:06
15	the financial statements for that fiscal year 12:01:43	15	Qi i i j quassion i j	:03:06
16	or the Coopers & Lybrand signature on the	16	that these financial statements were shared	
17	report for that year, would that lead you to	17	with anyone outside of AHERF, if you do?	
18	believe that these revenue figures were drawn	18		
19	from the audited financial statements?	19		,
20		20	1996 at some time? 12:03:13	5
21	things. The date an auditor signs an opinion	21		
22	is not the date of issuance of financial	22		
1		23		
123		1 ~ 4	A. I don't know that.	
23	that's a very misleading way to ask that	24		
	40.00.00	25		

31 (Pages 394 to 397)